

Exemptions and Objections Sub Committee Agenda

Date: Time: Location:	Monday, 22 April, 2024 9:30 am Civic Centre, Te Iwitahi, 9 Rust Avenue
Elected Members:	Cr Ken Couper (Chairperson) His Worship the Mayor Vince Cocurullo Cr Deborah Harding Cr Patrick Holmes Cr Scott McKenzie

For any queries regarding this meeting please contact the Whangarei District Council on (09) 430-4200.

			Pages
1.	Dec	arations of Interest / Take Whaipānga	
2.	Аро	ogies / Kore Tae Mai	
3.		firmation of Minutes of Previous Exemptions and Objections mittee Meeting / Whakatau Meneti	
	3.1	Minutes Exemptions and Objections Committee Meeting 7 March 2024	5
4.	Dec	sion Reports / Whakatau Rīpoata	
	4.1	Donald Hedges objection to disqualification from dog ownership	7
5.	Pub	ic Excluded Business / Rāhui Tangata	
6.	Clos	ure of Meeting / Te katinga o te Hui	
	Re	commendations contained in the agenda are not the decisions of the meeting.	

Please refer to minutes for resolutions.



Exemptions and Objections Committee - Terms of Reference

Membership	
Chairperson	Councillor Ken Couper
Members	His Worship the Mayor Vince Cocurullo Councillors Deborah Harding, Patrick Holmes and Scott McKenzie
Quorum	3
Meetings	As required The relevant legislative requirements should be taken into account when setting meeting dates

Purpose

To hear and determine objections, appeals and applications in respect of the regulatory functions and responsibilities of Council.

Delegations

- Hear and decide s357, s357A and 357B objections under the Resource Management Act where staff recommend decline.
- Determine and grant of Territorial Authority consents under S100 of the Gambling Act 2003 (as it relates to Class 4 Gambling Venues) and s65C of the Racing Act 2003 (as it relates to Board Venues).
- Power to consider an objection under s33B in relation to classification as a menacing dog under s33A of the Dog Control Act 1996 or an objection under s33D in relation to classification as a menacing dog under s33C of the Dog Control Act 1996.
- Consider objections relating to the classification of a person disqualified from owning a dog under s26 of the Dog Control Act 1996.
- Power to consider an objection to classification as a menacing dog under s33A and s33C of the Dog Control Act 1996.
- Power to consider and determine an objection to any notice issued requiring abatement of a barking dog nuisance under s55 of the Dog Control Act 1996.
- To determine the outcome of a request for reconsideration made under sections 199A and 199B of the Local Government Act 2002 in accordance with Council's Development Contribution Policy (no ability to waiver).
- Hear and determine statutory appeals or objections in respect to any matter where no specific delegation applies.





Item 3.1

Exemptions and Objections Sub-committee Meeting Minutes

Date: Time: Location:	Thursday, 7 March, 2024 9:00 a.m. Civic Centre, Te Iwitahi, 9 Rust Avenue
In Attendance	Cr Ken Couper (Chairperson) Cr Deborah Harding Cr Patrick Holmes Cr Scott McKenzie
Not in Attendance	His Worship the Mayor Vince Cocurullo
Also present	Reiner Mussle (Manager Health & Bylaws) Zane Kumar (Bylaw Enforcement Coordinator) Peter Banks (Manager, Animal Management, Armourguard) Christine Crawford (Executive Officer, Animal Management, Armourguard)
Scribe	N. Pestana (Team Leader, Democracy)

1. Declarations of Interest / Take Whaipānga

No interests were declared.

2. Apologies / Kore Tae Mai

His Worship the Mayor – away on Council business.

Moved By Cr Deborah Harding **Seconded By** Cr Patrick Holmes

That the apology be sustained.

Carried

- 3. Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Exemptions and Objections Committee Meeting / Whakatau Meneti
 - 3.1 Minutes Exemptions and Objections Committee 8 November 2023

Moved By Cr Patrick Holmes Seconded By Cr Scott McKenzie

That the minutes of the Exemptions and Objections Sub-Committee meeting held on Wednesday 8 November 2024, having been circulated, be taken as read and now confirmed and adopted as a true and correct record of proceedings of that meeting.

Carried

4. Decision Reports / Whakatau Rīpoata

4.1 Waina Murray Objection to Disqualification from Dog Ownership

Waina Murray appeared in person and withdrew his objection to the disqualification from dog ownership, which was accepted by the Committee.

5. Closure of Meeting / Te katinga o te Hui

The meeting concluded at 9.04am.

Confirmed this 22nd day of April 2024

Councillor Ken Couper (Chairperson)



4.1 Objection to Disqualification of Dog Ownership

Meeting:	Exemptions and Objections Committee		
Reporting officers:	Reiner Mussle, Manager Health and Bylaws		
	Zane Kumar, Bylaw Enforcement Co-Ordinator		
Date of meeting:	22 April 2024		

Time	Hearing	Name
09:30am	Objection to dog owner disqualification	Donald Hedges

Hearing Procedure

Objection under the Dog Control Act 1996

- 1 The Chairperson opens the proceedings by introducing the committee and asks parties to introduce themselves and their witnesses.
- 2 Staff will briefly outline the objection.
- 3 The Council Officer's/contractor's report, which has been circulated prior to the hearing, is taken as read.
- 4 The objector presents his/her case including any supporting evidence from witnesses.
- 5 Council officers/contractors will speak on his/her report and is available to answer questions.
- 6 Only the objector is given the opportunity to have a right to reply. This gives him/her the chance to clarify matters raised in the Council officer's/contractor's report but not to present new evidence.
- 7 Final questions of clarification.
- 8 The Chairperson adjourns the hearing to deliberate on its decision based on the evidence submitted, following which the objector will be notified in writing of the decision.

A written decision will be issued as soon as practicable.

Report to Exemptions and Objections Committee

Introduction

The purpose of this hearing is to hear and determine the objection lodged by Donald Hedges to a disqualification from dog ownership.

Background

Council issued Mr Hedges with a notice disqualifying him from dog ownership on the 9th of August 2022 (Appendix A) for a period of 3 years from 27 March 2022 to 27 March 2025. This is due to Mr Hedges having received three infringements, relating to three separate occasions, within a twenty-four-month period. Mr Hedges applied to have the decision to disqualify him from dog ownership in reviewed in 2023. The committee on 4th April 2023 upheld the disqualification from dog ownership. Mr Hedges has again applied on 02nd of April 2024 to have the decision to disqualify him from dog ownership.

Section 25 of the Dog Control Act 1996 ('the Act') states the foundations for disqualifying an owner.

25 Disqualification of owners

(1) A territorial authority must disqualify a person from being an owner of a dog if-

(a) the person commits 3 or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months

(1A) Subsection (1) does not apply if the territorial authority is satisfied that the circumstances of the offence or offences are such that—

(a) disqualification is not warranted; or

(b) the territorial authority will instead classify the person as a probationary owner under section 21.

Section 26 of 'the Act' states the foundations of objecting to a disqualification from dog ownership:

26Objection to disqualification

(1) Every person disqualified under section 25-

(a) may object to the disqualification by lodging with the territorial authority a written objection to the disqualification; and

(b) shall be entitled to be heard in support of the objection.

(2) An objection under this section may be lodged at any time but no objection shall be lodged within 12 months of the hearing of any previous objection to the disqualification.

(3) In considering any objection under this section, the territorial authority shall have regard to-

(a) the circumstances and nature of the offence or offences in respect of which the person was disqualified; and

- (b) the competency of the person objecting in terms of responsible dog ownership; and
- (c) any steps taken by the owner to prevent further offences; and
- (d) the matters advanced in support of the objection; and
- (e) any other relevant matters.

(4) In determining any objection, the territorial authority may uphold, bring forward the date of termination, or immediately terminate the disqualification of any person and shall give written notice of its decision, the reasons for it, and the right of appeal under section 27 to the objector.

Section 28 of 'the Act' states the effects of disqualification:

28 Effect of Disqualification

(1) Subject to this section, if a person is disqualified from owning a dog under section 25 the person must, —

(a) within 14 days of the date on which notice of the decision is given to the person, dispose of every dog owned by the person: and

(b) not subsequently be in possession of a dog at any time, except for the purpose of-

(i) preventing a dog from causing injury, damage, or distress; or

(ii) returning, within 72 hours, a lost dog to the territorial authority for the purpose of restoring the dog to its owner.

Under section 26(2) of 'the Act', Mr Hedges has the right to object to the disqualification every 12 months after the previous hearing of an objection to the disqualification (Appendix H1). Mr Hedges submitted an objection by letter (Appendix B) on the 02nd of April 2024 and subsequently, accepted by Council. The disqualification effects remain in place until a decision by the Committee is made as to whether to uphold the decision or not.

Statutory Considerations

Section 26(4) gives the power to the Committee to consider the objection, which may **uphold**, **bring forward the termination date**, or **immediately terminate** the disqualification of Mr Hedges.

In making the decision, the Committee must consider the following:

(a) the circumstances and nature of the offence or offences in respect of which the person was disqualified; and

(b) the competency of the person objecting in terms of responsible dog ownership; and

(c) any steps taken by the owner to prevent further offences; and

(d) the matters advanced in support of the objection; and

(e) any other relevant matters.

The Committee must give a written notice of its decision, the reasons for it, and the right to appeal under section 27 of 'the Act' as soon as practicable to Mr Hedges.

Assessment

Nature of offences:

The disqualification of dog ownership for Mr Hedges is based on three separate incidents reported by members of the public to Council's animal management and enforcement contractor, Armourguard. On all three occasions, an infringement was issued for a failure to control and confine Mr Hedges dogs. Infringements and summary of incidents forming the basis for the disqualification:

Date issued:	Infringement no:	Description:
17/05/2021	D507727	Failure to control and confine dog
27/11/2021	D508523	Failure to control and confine dog
27/03/2022	D508576	Failure to control and confine dog

First incident - 17th May 2021 (Appendix C)

Armourguard were called by a member of the public on the 17th of May 2021 to lodge a formal complaint in relation to Mr Hedges dog, 'Limpet'. The complainant stated they were driving past Mr Hedges address when 'Limpet' left its property and approached the complainant's vehicle. The complainant had their driver's window down, and the dog jumped onto the car and started growling at the complainant through the window. Mr Hedges was present during the incident and attempted to call 'Limpet' back into the property. Eventually, 'Limpet' retreated, and the complainant drove off. The complainant mentions in their statement (Appendix C) that previously, 'Limpet' had shown the complainant this kind of rushing/aggressive behaviour on multiple occasions, although not reported to Armourguard.

On the 26th of May, an Armourguard officer visited Mr Hedges property to follow up on the complaint, however, Mr Hedges and 'Limpet' were not present. During this visit, a nearby resident spoke with the officer and mentioned they'd seen Mr Hedges allow 'Limpet' to chase cars and bite the vehicles tyres. The Armourguard officer suggested they report the next incident and/or take photos for evidence.

On the 3rd of June, an Armourguard officer re-visited the address and spoke with Mr Hedges regarding the initial incident. Mr Hedges acknowledged the incident, and the officer issued a failure to control and confine infringement as well as warning Mr Hedges of the risks of allowing 'Limpet' to chase vehicles and bite the tyres. Mr Hedges indicated he had fencing ready to be installed to the property to better confine his dogs.

Second incident - 27 November 2021 (Appendix D)

Armourguard received a complaint from a member of the public in relation to a rushing incident involving 'Limpet'. Mr Hedges was driving when he pulled over and got out of his vehicle to speak with the complainant regarding an unrelated topic. 'Limpet' and 'Bruno' (another dog belonging to 41 Mr Hedges) jumped out of Mr Hedges driver's door window and onto the road. Although 'Limpet' showed no signs of aggression, the dog approached the complainant. As the complainant did not trust 'Limpets' behaviour, they kicked the dog away and subsequently, the dog yelped, and both 'Limpet' and 'Bruno' ran into the complainant's parents' property. Mr Hedges did not react or recall the dogs, and only until Mr Hedges returned to his car, the dogs followed him in.

Later that day, the complainant visited Mr Hedges property for an unrelated purpose. As the complainant left Mr Hedges property and drove off in their vehicle, 'Limpet' started biting the vehicles tyres and chased the vehicle down the road.

An Armourguard officer attempted to visit and call Mr Hedges on multiple occasions, however, was unsuccessful in contacting him. Mr Hedges was issued an infringement for failing to control and confine 'Limpet'.

Third incident - 27 March 2022 (Appendix E)

Armourguard received a call from a member of the public regarding being rushed at by 'Limpet'. The complainant, riding a bicycle, and their grandson, riding a scooter, were passing Mr Hedges address. Mr Hedges gates were left open, and 'Limpet' left its property and rushed at the complainant's grandson, barking, and growling. The complainant stood between the two, yelling and chasing the dog back inside the property. Mr Hedges attempted to grab 'Limpet' and secure the dog, while the complainant and their grandson continued down the road.

On the 8th of April an Armourguard officer visited the property to explain and follow up on the complaint. During this visit, Mr Hedges allowed 'Limpet' and 'Bruno' out of his property, and onto the public footpath in front of the officer. 'Limpet' jumped onto the officer in a playful manner, and the officer pushed the dog down and closed both dogs inside the property. The officer explained the severity of the incident, however, as Mr Hedges struggled to hear the officer, the officer raised concerns that Mr Hedges may not have understood the severity of the events.

As a result, 'Limpet' was impounded and later released to Mr Hedges on 11th April. A failure to control and confine infringement issued, a menacing classification was placed on 'Limpet', and Mr Hedges was advised that Council would consider disqualification. Subsequently, Council disqualified Mr Hedges following his third infringement being issued.

Any steps taken by the owner to prevent further offences

Although Mr Hedges has previously mentioned steps to prevent offending, including installing fencing, his extensive history of dog related issues makes it difficult to point out any effective steps he has taken. In fact, on 29/10/2022 'Limpet was impounded due to Mr Hedges failure to comply with the disqualification, Limpet was eventually signed to a new owner (Appendix F).

Any other relevant matters

It is submitted to the Committee that while Mr Hedges disqualification from dog ownership ends in March next year. Mr Hedges has made no real effort to improve his dog ownership. The matters that were before the committee last year on the 4th of April 2023 are still relevant. Mr Hedges has an extensive history as a dog owner having registered 11 dogs, accumulated 26 dog related infringements, and is linked to 46 animal related enquiries. 'Appendix F' sets out the dates, complaints and/or offences and the results of each infringement and animal related enquiry.

On the 10th of July 2018, Mr Hedges was made a probationary owner under section 21 of 'the Act' (Appendix G) following having received three infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months. A probationary owner is similar to a disqualification; however, a probationary owner is permitted to retain their already registered dog/s but are not permitted to become a registered owner to any new/additional dogs. Mr Hedges probationary classification was in place from 16 November 2017 until 16 November 2019. Making Mr Hedges a probationary owner on this occasion was not explored, due to Mr Hedges history, and the fact he is currently disqualified from owning dogs. It is also a fact that Mr Hedges has previously been made a probationary owner. Mr Hedges originally objected to being a probationary owner, resulting in an August 2019 hearing. The Committee decided to uphold the classification (Appendix H).

Conclusion

Under section 25 of 'the Act' Whangarei District Council must disqualify a person from being an owner of any dog if the person commits three or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months, unless the territorial authority is satisfied that the circumstances of the offence does not warrant a disqualification.

It is submitted that there is enough evidence on file to continue the disqualification of Mr Hedges from being a dog owner as there are three infringements issued on three separate occasions within a continuous period of 24 months, justifying the disqualification. Along with the fact that Mr Hedges when submitting his most recent objection, has not provided any evidence of an improvement of his dog ownership skills, leading to a situation where the matters previously considered by the committee in April 2023 where the decision was to uphold the disqualification are still relevant.

The continuation of the disqualification to its full end date of March 2025 not only benefits the community from ongoing dog issues, but also benefits dogs and their safety. Council and Armourguard have now exhausted their options in working with Mr Hedges to become a responsible dog owner as he continues to show a lack of confinement for his dogs.

Recommendation

That the Exemptions and Objections Committee hear the objection to disqualification from dog ownership.

Following deliberations:

That the Exemptions and Objections Committee determine whether the disqualification is either **upheld** or **immediately terminated** or **the date of termination brought forward**.

Appendices

Appendix A: Notice of disqualification

Appendix B: Letter of Objection

Appendix C: 17 May 2021 File

Appendix D: 27 November 2021 File

Appendix E: 27 March 2022 File

Appendix F: Related history

Appendix G: Probationary notice

Appendix H: Probationary owner objection- August 2019 EOC decision

Appendix H1: April 2023 EOC decision to uphold disqualification

Appendix I: Confirmation of hearing

Appendix J: Relevant legislation

Appendix₃A

In reply please ask for

17 1

Nina Darling - Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator



P +64 9 430 4200

www.wdc.govt.nz

E mailroom@wdc.govt.nz

Forum North, Private Bag 9023 Whangarei 0148, New Zealand

9th August 2022

Donald Hedges

Dear Donald Hedges,

Disqualification as Dog Owner

Please find attached a notice of disqualification from dog ownership pursuant to section 25 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

This follows the issue of three or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) to you within a continuous period of 24 months.

The infringementment notices that have been issued to you are set out below:

Date:	Infringement No:	Description:	
17/05/2021	D507727	Failure to control and confine	
27/11/2021	D508523	Failure to control and confine	
27/03/2022	D508576	Failure to control and confine	

The disqualification is for a period of 3 years from the date of the most recent infringement offence and so the disqualification will apply until 27/03/2025.

You are entitled to object to this classification and for your objection to be heard. Objections are heard by way of a formal hearing and conducted by a Council Committee. Should you wish to exercise your right of objection, further information is set out in the notification form attached.

Yours faithfully

Reiner Mussle

Manager - Health and Bylaws

<u>Appendix A</u>

Whangarei District Council Notice of disqualification from dog ownership

Section 25, Dog Control Act 1996



This is to notify you that you have been disqualified under section 25 of the Dog Control Act 1996 from owning any dog.

This follows—

1.1

~ 1

• 3 or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) having been committed by you, within a continuous period of 24 months.

The disqualification will apply from 27th March 2022 until 27th March 2025.

A summary of the effect of the disqualification and your right to object is provided below.

Signature of officer of Whangarei District Council:

Date: 10th August 2022

Effect of disqualification

Section 28, Dog Control Act 1996

You are required to dispose of every dog owned by you within 14 days of the date of this notice.

However, you may not dispose of a dog-

- to a person who resides at the same address as you; or
- in a way that constitutes an offence against the Dog Control Act 1996 or any other Act.

You must not become the owner, even on a temporary basis, of any dog while you are disqualified. You may have possession of a dog only for the purpose of—

• preventing it from causing injury, damage, or distress; or

Served 10/8/22 by officer 95.

r 2



• returning, within 72 hours, a lost dog to a territorial authority for the purpose of restoring the dog to its owner.

You will commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 if you—

- fail to dispose of every dog owned by you within 14 days of this notice; or
- at any time while disqualified, become the owner of any dog; or
- dispose of a dog owned by you—
 - to a person who resides at the same address as you; or
 - in a manner that constitutes an offence against the Dog Control Act 1996 or any other Act.

If you are convicted of the first or second of these offences, your period of disqualification may be further extended.

You will also commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 if you dispose or give custody or possession of a dog to a person knowing that person to be disqualified from ownership under section 25 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Full details of the effect of disqualification are provided in the Dog Control Act 1996.

Right of objection to disqualification

Section 26, Dog Control Act 1996

You may object to the disqualification by lodging a written objection with the Whangarei District Council setting out the grounds on which you object. You are entitled to be heard in support of your objection and will be notified of the time and place when your objection will be heard. No objection can be lodged within 12 months of the hearing of any previous objection to the disqualification. If an objection is lodged within 14 days after the date of this notice, the requirement to dispose of every dog owned by you will be suspended until the Whangarei District Council has determined the objection.

There is a further right of appeal to a District Court if you are dissatisfied with the decision of the Whangarei District Council on your objection.

Schedule form 3: substituted, on 1 November 2004, by regulation 3 of the Dog Control (Prescribed Forms) Amendment Regulations 2004 (SR 2004/354).



Appendix Byres Whangaree -2 APR 2024 Digniet Coupercience DMHedges Re Dog Disquelfecetion I would ask Council to review situation with boke that it could be removed fler 12 months. I have rehanded dog timpit their caused the infringented of being unrected and Pahani Save carpankas no aquessioe pehavios was caused as he escaped from my vehicle to look for foodscreps, I would like to pave a companion (og called Bring that pifsalso Achoused to Rodney Willace the That is a Wagnand and can not care for him but is pegisterel to kim A containment preblen exists with my neighbour al 15 - who has removed the coloured stiel houghly Pence that needs restarcing to stolga dog entering their place Could Council ash shendo refix it to pence polesse their Show persevenced would be safe?? Many neighbour hoods have dogs runing Pree thet are only appollen calogs runing dogs attaching weighbours perclogs Could our consider a Poke island agglie hanvitha Pontoon for a swim and reflieve enjoyment?



4	19		
	Dog Incid	lent	PEN #
ARMOURGUARD			CRM #
	Appendix	C	AC084681
Officer 194	Date	17/5/21	SMT W T F S
Dispatch Number		10:0	2 HIBIT
	ed 1002 Arrived	1010	Completed (C) IS
Complainants Name			(A)
Address		0	and College
Phone Number	Email	late a surface de al	EAUE
Complainant to be advised? V	es lime /	date contacted	Br
Dog(s) are at	tionlover	17500	5) (287555)
Address	heades		
Phone Number	DOB		
Type of complaint:			Antion
Attack P	atrol Ir	to Refuse	Action:
Rushing C	ollection T	ар	Seizure/Impounded
Wandering	evisit 🗌 V	lorrying Stock	Infringements Issued
Barking	nregistered C	onditions	Revisit Required
Complaint Roy ? P. Houll.	Dark Brown Blac	K - rushed	a bailed Grands
Grandson's terring that the Owner of	hed to Halk up	road. Com	plainant advises
that the Owner of	thuse dog has a	nother dog	but is very triendly
Dog Details:	8		
Tech One ID 75560		Number 206C	
Name Limpet	Microchip	1340000	90223260
Breed Bull Temer C			
Colour Black	Result Date	03106/20	21
Officers Comments (CO2	spoke to a	mp, he	will come in
Healay for a	Statement, 1	ve is un	able to bring
Canine Health Check:			
Body Score 1-5: Very Poor	Poor	Normal	+ Weight 📃 ++ Weight
Demeanor: Normal	Timid	Aggressive	Other
General Body: Healthy	Poor 🗌	Wounds	Other
Returned to Owner /	Time	Receip	ot Number

Notes: In his grandson teday. Mhohs Spoketo: Blatement obtained from regarding rushing which occurred on 157- May 2021 in the presence of his goandson Place CRM and Notin Thank pu. she had witnessed the incident when the compr 26/05 916 Visted grandson has been rushed at by the day when rioling his scortes/bike doing the road. She advised she knows af Don Letting The day is always bring at the wheels. I asked if in fature Keuld she be able to take my proto aidonce if she felt stife to do so, So we can get a history to the ology 938 Visited Dan Hoolges property - Dan was ad sghted not home. Speke to Titus beak at the delatress -photes taken. 03/06 Visited property - Dan was home - Dan has aware of the incident I advised there will be an FCC - he understood this. We spoke about limpet chasing the times on cours. I encouraged him to stop doing this/ and ar allowing limpet to do this. We spoke about the risk it couses for both the dag and driver. Don shound some fencing/optes he was pranting to put up to help contine Limpet and the other days inside the property 1554 spake to comp and advised the automa regarding the FCC. I also asked if the comp

Appendix C

Notes: Was compartable the do so to take photon of the dog when he sees the dog at . Comp became spressive and abusive stating we don't do au Job, useless etc. etc. I stated I has not going to be spoken to in that has not going to be spoken to in that maner and I has ending the conversation. Compthen hing up on me. FCC DSOTTAT Isrued

Appendix C

ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



witnessed Inglant 20 17 of maters ; distance 20 the gate hut Incident dea Dune 31 60 and continued Chi rde UP has shut We returned and dos Las not am o cayse doc 0 naant annux 6 month angaemen 1mg Inni Same not The susical toychoad da has Or dans hna behal OWS SIGNS W RSON Cass n. mandso VISIT don 10 bn 15 9 CP Same does 101 aggession as Signature the GNN 01



ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



Due	10 1	the.	daps	agg	non	and this
histor	4 of	indi	dents	Ŧ	belien	e this
dag	heads	10	be a	edre	yed.	
2.40.4						
	_					
0						
Signature						



	Dog In	²⁵ icident	PEN #
ARMOURGUARD		AREI DISTRICT	CRM #
YK	Appen		ACZZZZZ
	Трреп		
Officer	160.	Date 29/11/2	S M T W T F S
Dispatch Number		6	
	ioned (520	Arrived 1920	Completed 530
Complainants Name			
Address			
Phone Number		Email	
Complainant to be advised?	Yes	Time / date contacted	FARE
Dog(s) are at	× ×	(287555)	- SULLED
Dig Owner Name	n Healaes	(28 (355)	SUD15-
Address			
Phone Number		DOB	J
Type of complaint:			Action:
Attack	Patrol	Into Refuse	Barking Notice Served
Rushing	Collection	Trap	Seizure/Impounded
Wandering Q	Revisit	Worrying Stock	Infringements Issued
Barking	Unregistered	Conditions	Revisit Required
Complaint Dork Bro	in dag be	logig to	Don Healass,
junped out a	of car wi	dos autro	le my papety
and attempter	gin of la	why son (3	37 porst J
Dog Details: hcidert	topperied	27th (Safi	rddy) TOZOAPOX.
Tech One ID	Regis		Male Female
Name Limited	, Micro	,	
Breed Staff .	Resul	t Statem	est taken
Colour DV Ring	Blad Result	Date 5/ 12/2	
Officers Comments	IN APSGOCAR	left for	
	0	ab. h.	20
Canine Health Check:			10
Body Score 1-5: Very Po	or Poor	Normal] + Weight ++ Weight
Demeanor: Normal	Timid	Aggressive	Other
General Body: Healthy	Poor	Wounds] Other
Returned to Owner /	/Time	Rece	ipt Number

.

Annendix D Notes: re 5 - describes my type event. Agreed to make 1/12 1805 Spoke 5 doptessive ind a Bural statement. Dark brown or bridle, dork face, med-lge, male, dosit know name, scors on face. 15/12 1400 mersiewed and statement taken. Dangraded to condering based andags behaviour. Signed Christie. 20/12 p30 Visited poperty - None home. Calley cord left - the been instructed fil trying to reach Don by plane to explain. Fee. Free, to reach 23/12 No reapose from Don. Hinged FCC lased on withers statement. hinged FCC P5\$523. NEA.



à. A

)



Cal-15/12/21





ANIMAL MANAGEMENT – PROSECUTION FILE

Tick all that apply:

Statement & Declaration

Photos

□ Injury information sheet

- □ Consent to access medical/ veterinary records
- □ Medical bills/ reports
- Complainant dog details
- □ Veterinary bills/ reports
- □ Victim impact statement
- □ Correspondence
- Other:

)



Appendix D

Personal Details	
Complainant	
Title:	Date of Birth
Name:	
Address:	
Home Phone:	Work Phone:
Mobile Phone:	
Email:	

DOG DETAIL	_S		
Number of d	logs involved: 2	☐ 3 or more (attach separate sheet)	
Dog 1		Dog 2	
Gender:	Male.	Gender:	
Size:	Male Med-Lge	Size:	
Ears:		Ears:	
Tail:	Lana	Tail:	
Breed:	<u> </u>	Breed:	
Colour:	DIK BROWS	Colour:	
Collar:	a Brodle	Collar:	
Distinguishing Features:		Distinguishing Features:	
Secre	enface		
	Anne		

1	ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION	FILE		
	COMPLAINANT	Appendix D		ngarei strict Council
	DOG IDENTIFICATION			
	Dog not positively identified			
	\Box I am familiar with offending dog(s)			
	□ I have seen offending dog confined on pr	operty at:		
	□ Positive identification of the dog(s) in An	imal Management Vehicle on		
	(date)at (time)			
	□ Positive identification of the dog(s) at An	imal Shelter on		
D.	(date)at (time)			
	Positively identified from photos provided	by Animal Management Office	er #:60	

DOG OWNER IDENTIFICATION

 \Box I do not know who owns the dog

I know the owner of the dog

Description of dog owner (ethnicity, gender, build, approximate age, clothing, vehicle, etc.)

Do Hedges



Appendix D

Complainant Statement at approximately (time) On the (date) 1030 He does DA I was at (place) What happened? 120 d N MA ch. 0 0 SI 1 H ona 1 0 over ame 1 6 in 0 09 40 e C a -(Di Or CRE 0 A Q 5 he 0 Q Signature

 \bigcirc

Appendix D



delived the dirt 1 0 Q -0 PI a PC 0 1 -01 P 0 ha thas d a At Laco 09 ar A Cole ah cap is nation Signature

5 1			33
ANIMAL	MANAGEMENT	PROSECUTION	FILE

Appendix D



Declaration

141

£.

This statement is true and I have made with the knowledge that it is to be used in court
proceedings (Pursuant to section 82 of the Criminal Procedure Act 2011).
Print Name:
Signature: Date:/S//2
Name of parent/guardian:
(where complainant is less than 16 years old)
Signature of parent/guardian:
(where complainant is less than 16 years old)
Statement recorded at:
AMO Name: AMO Number:
AMO Signature: Date and Time:
Please Note: if the Whangarei District Council finds evidence of an offence and your matter goes
to court, you may be required to attend court to give evidence. If the Council decides to pursue a
prosecution, a court order for the destruction of any offending dogs will be sought
(Office Use Only: Animal Management Officer Tick one)
Complainant has confirmed that they are prepared to attend a court hearing and give
evidence if necessary.
Complainant has confirmed that they are NOT prepared to attend a court hearing and give evidence.
2/
Signature Date
INJURY INFORMATION SHEET - PERSON
Medical
Did you/ the victim seek medical treatment following the incident?
□ No □ Yes Date Time
Where did you/ the victim receive treatment?

34 ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT

Appendix D



Describe your/ victim's injuries: Describe the medical treatment you/ the victim received:

Injuries

Did you/ the victim receive injuries?

🗆 No

Yes Please indicate on the diagrams the part of the body that was injured

ARMOURGUARD Dog Incident WHANGAREI DISTRICT PEN # Appendix E CRM # AC222163
Officer #95. Date Date Date Date Date Date Date Date
Dispatch Number 0389 Time received 1024 Actioned 1025 Arrived 1036 Completed 1104.
Address
Phone Number Email Complainant to be advised? Yes Time / date contacted
Dog(s) are at g Owner Name Don Hedges. #287555
Address DOB
Type of complaint: Action: Attack Cl Patrol Into Refuse Rushing Collection Trap
Wandering Revisit Worrying Stock Infringements Issued Barking Unregistered Conditions Revisit Required
Complaint Ciller and his Grandson mere attacked by the class from this property. Onnews name is Don ind has been warned various times. Dog Details:
Tech One ID 75560 Registration Number 0/064 Male Female
Name Limpet Microchip 934000090223260
Breed Labrador X Result Impanded
Colour Black Result Date 81412022.
Officers Comments Phoped and and confirmed incident
details. 29/3 1230 Official statement recorded
Canine Health Check:
Body Score 1-5: Very Poor Poor Normal + Weight ++ Weight
Demeanor: Normal Timid Aggressive Other
General Body: Healthy Poor Wounds Other
Returned to Owner 1210412022 Time 1130 hrs. Receipt Number

Appendix E at Whangarei Animai Mainagement No physical contact made by deg so incident was dewngraded to a rushing incident. 8/4/22 1124 - Visited Don Hedges and explained Investigation. Don verbally given BORA caution and explained process of investigation. 869 ST002920 issued by hand and claimed in writing. HS I was explaining the process to Don he let both "Limpet" and "Brino" outside the property onto the public tostpath. Von had to control over any dag so "Limper" jumped upto me in a mendly manner While standing on the toppath. I pushed "Limpet" off me and dosed him inside the property. Don immediately started brain storming pays to prevent This happening again dispute the withessing "Limpet" Jumping on me infront of him. Don has suggested chaining up the two tences to avoid visitors leaving The gates open would be a solution to the problem. Vor was very hard of hearing so I don't feel like he understood the severity of the incident. "Limpet" uplifted and impounded. 11/4 1210 - Cemplainant positively identified "Limpet" at Whangarei Animal Management. He was explained the refease process and possible outcome. 12/4 "Limpet" released back to Dan Hedger. Menacing dassification explained and advised consideration for disqualitication is pending from Council. PEC to be issued. Fac Sols 576 issued. Reminder set for 56 day to complete duqualitication.
Date:			E OF SEI2 UNDING and 69A of The Do Notice	OF D	OG
Name: (Mr/	Mrs/Miss/Ms)	on Hec	19.05		
Address:	~	1	9.000		
			Postc	ode:	
Dog ID	Dog Name	Breed	Colour	Sex	Microchip No.
75560	Limpet	Labx	Brown	M	9340000
	1.1.				90223260
Unless the d	log is claimed and any fee disposed of pursuant to S				ay be sold, destroyed
You have u	Intil 4.00pm on 15104	/2022 to claim yo	our dog. Payment	to be ma	de by EFTPOS.
As the dog As the dog pursuant to	is not currently registered the is registered it will need to be has been seized pursuant to s section 71 of the Dog Control ant to section 71 of the Dog Co	microchipped/de-sexed aection 57 or 57A, if you Act 1996 on the basis th	before it will be release claim the dog after pay	ed. ying the fee:	the dog may be retained
Registration	/	Impoundin	g 146-20	3	
Microchippin	g	Sustenanc	1.00		per night
Your dog in o	urrently housed at the followin	-			
	istrict Council Animal She	elter, 109 - 115 Kiorero	a Road, Whangare		75

Date: 8/4/221in	ne: CRM: .	AC222	163	
Photo taken/provided by:				
Photo description:"				

1.3

ĉ

۲

O









ANIMAL MANAGEMENT – PROSECUTION FILE

Tick all that apply:

- □ Statement & Declaration
- □ Photos

A ...

- Injury information sheet
 - □ Consent to access medical/ veterinary records
 - □ Medical bills/ reports
 - □ Complainant dog details
 - □ Veterinary bills/ reports
 - □ Victim impact statement
 - □ Correspondence
- Other:



ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



Personal Details			
Complainant		🗹 Victim	
Title:		Date of Birth	
Name:			
Address:			
Home Phone:		Work Phone:	-
Mobile Phone:	10		
Email:			

DOG DETA	LS		
Number of	dogs involved: 🛂 🗌 2	□ 3 or more	(attach separate sheet)
Dog 1		Dog 2	
Gender:	Male	Gender:	
Size:	Medium - Large	Size:	
Ears:	Pointed up.	Ears:	
Tail:	long.	Tail:	
Breed:	Mixed Bull Terrier	Breed:	
Colour:	Park brown /black	Colour:	
Collar:	none described.	Collar:	
Distinguish	ing Features:	Distinguish	ing Features:



ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



DOG IDENTIFICATION

Dog not positively identified

□ I am familiar with offending dog(s)

I have seen offending dog confined on property at:

Desitive identification of the dog(s) in Animal Management Vehicle on

(date) _____at (time) _____

Positive identification of the dog(s) at Animal Shelter on

(date) 11 04 22 at (time) 12 10 hvg.

Positively identified from photos provided by Animal Management Officer #: ______

DOG OWNER IDENTIFICATION

□ I do not know who owns the dog

I know the owner of the dog

Description of dog owner (ethnicity, gender, build, approximate age, clothing, vehicle, etc.)



ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



Complainant Statement On the (date) Sunday 27/3/2022 at approximately (time) 10 am. I was at (place) What happened? had left my addness and 10 owan WO pushbike was on 01 On a Or approad a Ó OPPROX ar 70 bar 11 an 6 S chasea Rick in 20 Signature

Appendix E

ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT

)



intressed Incident he lance ofers. 0 e gate don raant and Ne SIA NO 0 ahu an 51 because 9 madent approx 6 mon ima angaemen 200 nn 20 Shu rai DUC a N Or den h G SIGNS SOr Dalpours laci CP ame ession ere Signature

ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



Due	10 1	the	dags	agg	nestion) are	~/
histor	4 of	ind	dags idants be a	Ŧ	belier	re this	P
dog	needs	to	be a	ladro	yed.		
~			DI				
			Kdo	<u> </u>			
							_
Signature							
Signature							



ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



Declaration

×.

This statement is true and I have made with the knowledge that it is to be used in court proceedings (Pursuant to section 82 of the Criminal Procedure Act 2011).

Print Name:						
Signature: Date: 29 3 122						
Name of parent/guardian:						
(where complainant is less than 16 years old)						
Signature of parent/guardian:						
(where complainant is less than 16 years old)						
Statement recorded at:						
Statement recorded at:						
AMO Name: Christine AMO Number: 95						
AMO Signature: Orgwford Date and Time: 1230 29/3/22						
Please Note: if the Whangarei District Council finds evidence of an offence and your matter goes						
to court, you may be required to attend court to give evidence. If the Council decides to pursue a prosecution, a court order for the destruction of any offending dogs will be sought						
prosecution, a court order for the destruction of any offending dogs will be sought						
(Office Use Only: Animal Management Officer Tick one)						
Complainant has confirmed that they are prepared to attend a court hearing and give evidence if necessary.						
Complainant has confirmed that they are NOT prepared to attend a court hearing and give						
evidence.						
Signature Crawford Date 29/3/22.						
INJURY INFORMATION SHEET - PERSON						
Medical						
Did you/ the victim seek medical treatment following the incident?						
□ No □ Yes Date Time						
Where did you/ the victim receive treatment?						



ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE





Describe your/ victim's injuries: Describe the medical treatment you/ the victim received:

Injuries

Did you/ the victim receive injuries?

🗆 No

Yes Please indicate on the diagrams the part of the body that was injured

<u>Appendix E</u>

ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT

÷.,

 \cap



-0 R L L R UU Un III 11

FRONT

ВАСК

ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE



COMPLAINANT

PMP -		M
LEFT		RIGHT
INJURY INFORMATION SHE	EET - ANIMAL	
Veterinary Did you seek veterinary treatm	ent for your animal (s) following the in	cident?
□ No □ Yes D Where did your animal(s) rece		
Nature of the animal's injuries:		

Describe the veterinary treatment your animal(s) receied:

Veterinary costs: \$ ______ (attach veterinary bills/invoices)

Injuries

Did your animal(s) receive injuries?

Please indicate on the diagrams the part of the body that is injured. □ No 🗌 Yes

ANIMAL MANAGEMENT PROSECUTION FILE

COMPLAINANT



Domestic Animals

Dog

A



□ Cat



□ Other domestic animal

Livestock

Animal type:

No. of animals injured:

No. of animals deceased:

ŝ.

8



Date: $\mathcal{L}(N)/\mathcal{A}\sigma$ Time:
Photo taken/provided by:
Photo description:





Date:/ Time: CRM:
Photo taken/provided by:
Photo description:





 \cap

"Limpet" Photoby officer 194.





Sign;

Witnessed by AMO; . Crawford.

							Count:25
	Hedges	C, Cance	AN	7669	DC	Infrin	D108444
	Hedges	C, Cance	AN	7670	DC	Dog Infrin	D108443
	Hedges	P, Prose	KB	8787	CN	Dog Infrin	D9901
	Hedges	P, Prose	TP	8806	DC	Infrin	D9927
	Hedges	Paid, Pai	21	45087	FCC	Dog Infrin	10122
	Hedges	Paid, Pai	55	45087	FCC	Dog Infrin	10543
	Hedges	Paid, Pai	59	45087	FCC	Dog Infrin	09974
		Cancelle	73	55920	PRA	DOG, Dog Infrin 18/07/2012 12:00:00 AM	12423
		Paid, Pai	92	58812	UN	Dog Infrin	13794
		Cancelle	92	55920	FCC	Dog Infrin	13793
		Paid, Pai	92	55920	UN	Dog Infrin	13792
		Paid, Pai	92	55920	FCC	Dog Infrin 08/07/2016	17651
		Paid, Pai	92	62311	FCC	Dog Infrin	17650
		Paid, Pai	92	67004	FCC	Dog Infrin 08/07/2016	17649
		Paid, Pai	59	62311	FCC	Dog Infrin	17395
		Paid, Pai	59	62311	FCC	Dog Infrin	17394
		Paid, Pai	92	62311	FCC	Dog Infrin	D501607
	_	WriteOff,	93	70738	UN	Dog Infrin	D503115
		WriteOff,	93	70738	0	DOG, Dog Infrin 16/11/2017 12:00:00 AM	D503114
	_	WriteOff,	93	62311	UN	Dog Infrin	D503113
		WriteOff,	93	62311	ō	Dog Infrin	D503112
	Hedges	Paid, Pai	93	68950	FCC	Dog Infrin	D503109
	Hedges	Paid, Pai	93	67004	FCC	Dog Infrin	D503108
2 · · ·	Hedges	Paid, Pai	194	75560	FCC	_	D507727
Limpet	Hedges	Prosecut	160	75560	FCC	DOG, Dog Infrin 27/11/2021 10:30:00 AM	D508523
	Name			e	Code		No
	Offender	Status		Referenc	Offence	Infringement Infringement Date And	Infringement

Printed by CHRISTINEC on 29/03/2022 2:15:59 PM

 \bigcirc

O

.

×

Appendix E

Page 1

Infringement Offenders (Enquiry)

<u>Appendix </u>能



Forum North, Private Bag 9023 Whangarei 0148, New Zealand Telephone: +64 9 430 4200 Facsimile: +64 9 438 7632 Email: mailroom@wdc.govt.nz Website: www.wdc.govt.nz

10 July 2018

Donald Hedges

Dear Mr Hedges

Notice of Classification as Probationary Owner – Section 21, Dog Control Act 1996

This is to notify you¹ that you have been classified as a probationary owner under section 21 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

This is because under section 21(2) You have committed three or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months.

Infringement Date Offence Outcome

Infringement	Date	Offence	Outcome
17395	8/9/2016	Failure to keep dog controlled and confined (Section 52 A)	Paid
D501607	14/11/2016	Failure to keep dog controlled and confined (Section 52 A)	Paid
D503109 D503108	16/11/2017	Failure to keep dog controlled and confined (Section 52 A)	Paid

The classification will apply from 16 November 2017 until 16 November 2019, unless earlier terminated by Whangarei Council.

A summary of the effect of the classification and your right to object is provided over the page.

Yours sincerely

Reiner Mussle Manager Health and Bylaws

¹ For the purposes of the Dog Control Act 1996, you are the owner of a dog If:

You own the dog; or

 You have the dog in your possession (otherwise than for a period not exceeding 72 hours for the purpose of preventing the dog causing injury, or damage, or distress, or for the sole purpose of restoring a lost dog to its owner); or

• You are the parent or guardian of a person under 16 who is the owner of the dog and who is a member of your household living with and dependent on you.

Received 12/7/2018 00 1152 Donald Mitchell 1-ledges MAR

witness . affert on



EFFECT OF CLASSIFICATION AS PROBATIONARY OWNER

Sections 23, 23A and 24, Dog Control Act 1996

During the classification period, you may not become the registered owner of any dog that is not presently registered by you.

You are required within 14 days of the date of this notice to dispose of every unregistered dog owned by you.

You may be required to undertake, at your own expense, a dog owner education programme or a dog obedience course (or both) approved by the Whangarei District Council. You will commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 if you do not comply with this requirement.

You will also commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 if you:

- fail to dispose of every unregistered dog owned by you within 14 days of this notice; or
- at any time while classified as a probationary owner, become the owner of any dog (unless you were the
 owner of the dog on the date of the offence or on the date of the third infringement offence in respect of
 which the classification as a probationary owner was made); or
- dispose or give custody or possession of any dog to any person if you know that the person is disqualified from being a dog owner.

Full details of the effect of classification as a probationary owner are provided in the Dog Control Act 1996.

RIGHT OF OBJECTION

Section 22, Dog Control Act 1996

You may object to classification as a probationary owner by lodging a written objection with the Whangarei District Council setting out the grounds on which you object. You are entitled to be heard in support of the objection and will be notified of the time and place when your objection will be heard. No objection can be lodged within 12 months of the hearing of any previous objection to the same classification. If an objection is lodged within 14 days after the date of this notice, the requirement to dispose of every unregistered dog owned by you will be suspended until the Whangarei District Council has determined the objection.

Appendix E⁵⁷

11th April 2022

Donald Hedges

Dear Donald Hedges

Notice of Classification of a Menacing Dog - Section 33A, Dog Control Act 1996

tener of enabering	battori of a monuoling bog	occurrent our, boy oc	ILLOLACE 1330
Dog ID:	75560	Primary Breed:	American Staffordshire Cross
Microchip No:	934000090223260	Secondary Breed:	Cross
Name:	Limpet	Primary Colour:	Brown
Sex:	Male	Secondary Colour:	White
Age:	3 Years and 0 Months		
Address where	dog is usually kept:		

This is to notify you* that the above mentioned dog has been classified as a menacing dog under section 33A of the Dog Control Act 1996.

This is because Whangarei District Council considers that the dog may pose a threat to any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife because of observed or reported behaviour of the dog, namely:

15th May 2021 Rushed at a person on public property 27th March 2022 Rushed at a person on public property

I hereby notify you that the dog described herein has been classified as a MENACING DOG with effect from the date of issue of this notice.

A summary of this classification and your right to object is provided on the reverse.

Please note:

• Your dog must now be de-sexed with a veterinary certificate for proof of de-sexing provided by **12th May 2022.**

· Your dog must now be muzzled when it is at large or in any public place or private way

Your dog must be kept under control at all times

Documents requested above can be sent to: Armourguard Security, P O Box 99, Whangarei, 0140.

Yours sincerely

Reiner Mussle Manager Health and Bylaws

*For the purposes of the Dog Control Act 1996, you are the owner of a dog if:

You own the dog; or

• You have the dog in your possession (otherwise than for a period not exceeding 72 hours for the purpose of preventing the dog causing injury, or damage, or distress, or for the sole purpose of restoring a lost dog to its owner); or

• You are the parent or guardian of a person under 16 who is the owner of the dog and who is a member of your household living with and dependent on you.



Forum North, Private Bag 9023 Whangarei 0148, New Zealand P +64 9 430 4200 F +64 9 438 7632 E mailroom@wdc.govt.nz www.wdc.govt.nz

Effect of classification as menacing dog Sections 33E, 33F, and 36A, Dog Control Act 1996

You—

(a)

must not allow the dog to be at large or in any public place or in any private way (other than when confined completely within a vehicle or cage) without the dog being muzzled in such a manner as to prevent the dog from biting but to allow it to breathe and drink without obstruction; and

(b)

must, if required by the Whangarei District Council, produce to the Whangarei District Council, within 1 month after receipt of this notice, a certificate issued by a veterinarian certifying—

(i)

that the dog is or has been neutered; or

(ii)

that for reasons that are specified in the certificate, the dog will not be in a fit condition to be neutered before a date specified in the certificate; and

(c)

where a certificate under paragraph (b)(ii) is produced to the Whangarei District Council, produce to the Whangarei District Council, within I month after the date specified in that certificate, a further certificate under paragraph (b)(i).

You will commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 if you fail to comply with all of the matters in paragraphs (a) to (c) above.

A dog control officer or dog ranger may seize and remove the dog from you if you fail to comply with all of the matters in paragraphs (a) to (c) above. The officer or ranger may keep the dog until you demonstrate that you are willing to comply with paragraphs (a) to (c).

As from 1 July 2006, you are also required, for the purpose of providing permanent identification of the dog, to arrange for the dog to be implanted with a functioning microchip transponder. This must be confirmed by making the dog available to the Whangarei District Council in accordance with the reasonable instructions of the Whangarei District Council for verification that the dog has been implanted with a functioning microchip transponder of the prescribed type and in the prescribed location.

You will commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 if you fail to comply with this requirement---

within 2 months from 1 July 2006 if your dog is classified as menacing on or after 1 December 2003 but before 1 July 2006; or

• within 2 months after the dog is classified as menacing if your dog is classified as menacing after 1 July 2006. If the dog is in the possession of another person for a period not exceeding 72 hours, you must advise that person of the requirement to not allow the dog to be at large or in any public place or in any private way (other than when confined completely within a vehicle or cage) without the dog being muzzled in such a manner as to prevent the dog from biting but to allow it to breathe and drink without obstruction. You will commit an offence and be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$500 if you fail to comply with this requirement.

Full details of the effect of the classification of a dog as menacing are provided in the Dog Control Act 1996.

Right of objection to classification under section 33A Section 33B, Dog Control Act 1996

You may object to the classification of your dog as menacing by lodging with the Whangarei District Council a written objection within 14 days of receipt of this notice setting out the grounds on which you object. You have the right to be heard in support of your objection and will be notified of the time and place at which your objection will be heard.

- 90%



Dog Incident

WHANGAREI DISTRICT

PEN	#
	15
CRM	1#
AC	226233

Officer		Date	29/10/	22 ѕмтw	TF®
Dispatch Number					.0
Time received 1300	Actioned	1310 Arrive	ed 13(0)	Completed	1325
Comp Lainants Name	. WDC Direction	<i>I</i> R		1.5	
Address					
Phone Number		Email			
Complainant to be a	advised? 🗌 Yes	Time	/ date contacted		
Dog(s) are at					
Dog Owner Name	Jonalel Milchell	Hedges V			
Address					
Phone Number		0 DOB		ſ	
Type of complaint:				<u>ل</u>	CaLRU
Attack	Patrol		into Refuse	Action:	
Rushing	Collec	tion	Trap		Notice Served
Wandering	Revisi	t [] 1	Norrying Stock		/Impounded
Barking	Unreg	istered	Conditions		Required
Complaint					
Dog Details:					
Tech One ID 755	10	Decistration	Number 040		
Name Limoch			Number 272		laie 🔄 Female
Breed Stally X			34 000096		
Colour Black - W	l. la	Result Date	impainder 291/10/8	2	
Omeans commente	Dog mpou	ified and	covied ac	lorces, duc	. to
UNICE DEL	ig orsquar	itien. und	from ca	punch du	rective.
Canine Health Cheo	:k:				
Body Score 1-5:	Very Poor	Poor	Normal	+ Weight	++ Weight
Demeanor:	Normal	Timid	Aggressive	Other	
General Body:	Healthy	Poor	Wounds	Other	
Returned to Owner	/ /	Time	Re	ceipt Number	

Append® F

Notification of Change of Dog Ownership	Whangarei District Council
Dog ID: 75560 Tag No:	Forum North, Private Beg 9023
Dog Name: Limpet Gender: F M Age:	Te Mei, Whengersi 0143, New Zealand P +64 9 430 4200 - El mail:com@wdc.govt.nz www.wckt.govt.nz
Colour: Dog Breed:	
Previous Owners Name: Don Hedges.	и
Address:	
Home Ph No: Mobile: Bus	
Signature: AAHT Date: 3-11-2	2
*****	******
New Owners Name Date of Date	of Birth:
Postal Address:	
Property Address: Ac above Email Address	
	siness:
Signature: A: Mom Date: 3-11-22	Danie and the second
Note: A signed letter from the previous owner is acceptable, please attached	
Office Use Only: Computer Update: 4 New owner Name ID: 317013 Sta Date: 7/11/22	ff Name: Julia. D.

13/94309

		Time: 13,14	Notic	e No.;	51001332
Name: (Mr	/Mrs/Miss/Ms)	1 hereinen			
Address:					
			Pos	code:	
Dog ID	Dog Neme	Breed	Colour	Sex	Microohip No.
15560	Liphipet	Shaffa x	Ricch. Wh	M	
		7		_	
1996 for the Section 32 Section 33 Section 42 Section 82 OTHER	btly you that the dog des following reason(a): - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with m - Failing to register a dog A - Failing to control or confir A - Failing to 200 (1)	erous classification	Section 57 - Day Section 57A - Day Section 60 - Day	ettacking pe grushing at running at le	arson or animal parson, animal or vehicle arge among stock or poultr
1986 for the Section 32 Bection 33 Section 42 Bection 52 OTHER Unless the or otherwise	a following reason(a): - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with m - Failing to register a dog A - Failure to control or confir Control or confir Control of Confirmed and any fe a disposed of pursuant to	perous classification	Section 57 - Day Section 67A - Day Section 60 - Day Section 60 - Day ne receipt of this Control Act 199	ettacking pe grushing at running at la notice, it m 6.	arson or animal parson, animal or vahicle arge among stock or poultr arge be sold, destroyed
1986 for the Section 32 Section 33 Section 42 Section 52 OTHER Unless the or otherwise You have I	 following reason(a): Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with m Failing to register a dog Failure to control or confit Control or confit	erous classification enacing classification te pe paid within 7 days of th Section 69(2) of the Dog 1 /2022 to claim you	Section 57 - Day Section 67A - Day Section 60 - Day Section 60 - Day Result A control of Control Act 198 Section Act 198 Section Act 198 Section Act 198	ettacking pe grushing at running at la notice, if m 6. It to be m	arson or animal parson, animal or vehicle arge among stock or poultr nay be sold, destroyed ade by EFTPOS.
1986 for the Section 32 Bection 33 Section 42 Bection 52 OTHER Unless the or otherwlae You have to As the dog	a following reason(a): - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with m - Failing to register a dog A - Failure to control or confir (1), (1), (2), (3), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4), (4	erous classification enacing classification te se paid within 7 days of th Section 69(2) of the Dog 1 /20 2 to claim you te dog must be registered/mi	Section 57 - Day Section 67A - Day Section 60 - Day Section 60 - Day ereceipt of this Control Act 199 ar dog. Payme	ettacking pe grushing at running at li notice, it m 6. It to be m ed before it i	arson or animal parson, animal or vehicle arge among stock or poultr nay be sold, destroyed ade by EFTPOS.
1986 for the Section 32 Section 33 Section 42 Section 52 OTHER Unless the or otherwise You have t As the dog As the dog As the dog As the dog	a following reason(a): - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with ra- - Failing to register a dog A - Failure to control or confir A - Failure to control or	perous classification penacing classification te pe paid within 7 days of th Section 69(2) of the Dog 1 /20 2 2, to claim you te dog must be registered/mil pemicrochipped/de-sexed be o section 57 or 57A, if you clair rol Act 1996 on the basis that	Section 57 - Day Section 67A - Day Section 60 - Day Section 60 - Day Performance of the Control Act 199 In dog. Payme Icrochipped/de-sex afore it will be released afore it will be released	ettacking pe grushing at running at li notice, it m 6, nt to be m ad before it v sed. aying the fac	arson or animal person, animal or vehicle arge among stock or poultr hay be sold, destroyed ade by EFTPOS. will be released.
1986 for the Section 32 Section 33 Section 42 Bection 52 OTHER Unless the op or otherwlase You have to As the dog As the dog As the dog As the dog Constant ty Secs:	a following reason(a): - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with m - Failing to register a dog A - Failure to control or confir A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -	perous classification penacing classification te pe paid within 7 days of th Section 69(2) of the Dog 1 /20 2 2, to claim you te dog must be registered/mil pemicrochipped/de-sexed be o section 57 or 57A, if you clair rol Act 1996 on the basis that	Section 57 - Day Section 67A - Day Section 60 - Day Section 60 - Day Performance of the Control Act 199 In dog. Payme Icrochipped/de-sex afore it will be released afore it will be released	ettacking pe grushing at running at li notice, it m 6, nt to be m ad before it v sed. aying the fac	arson or animal person, animal or vehicle arge among stock or poultr hay be sold, destroyed ade by EFTPOS. will be released.
1996 for the Section 32 Section 33 Section 42 Section 52 OTHER Unless the do or otherwise You have 1 As the dog As the dog	a following reason(a): - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with dang EC - Failing to comply with m - Failing to register a dog A - Failure to control or confir A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -	perous classification penacing classification te pe paid within 7 days of th Section 69(2) of the Dog 1 /20 2 2, to claim you te dog must be registered/mil pemicrochipped/de-sexed be o section 57 or 57A, if you clair rol Act 1996 on the basis that	Section 57 - Day Section 67A - Day Section 60 - Day Section 60 - Day Performance of the Control Act 199 In dog. Payme Icrochipped/de-sex afore it will be released afore it will be released	ettacking pe grushing at running at li notice, it m 6, nt to be m ad before it v sed. aying the fac	arson or animal person, animal or vehicle arge among stock or poultr hay be sold, destroyed ade by EFTPOS. will be released.

Appe	endax F	
	, 1	
Dog Impound		Pen# 15 CRM# AC 226 23
Officer: 217 Date: 29(1)0 / 20 Time: 1319 Complainants Name: WDC	SMTW	
Address: Phone Number:		
Dog(s) found at: Dog Owner Name: Donalal Hadgen Address:	<u> </u>	
Phone Number:	DOB)	
Type of Complaint: Attack Collection Rushing Revisit Wandering Unregistered Patrol Into Refuse	 Trap Worrying Stock Conditions Surrender 	Date Quoted Total
Picture attached:		
Dog Details: Tech One ID: 75560 Name: Limpor Breed: Shaffy Y	Registration Number: 272 Microchip: 934 0000(1) 223 Male Female	240
Colour: Black - White Brann Result: Owner Collected Euthanized	Desexed Entire	and the second s
	CZZGZZZ fer wed to owner. (00 comple	
Canine Health Check: Body Score 1 - 5: Very Poor Demeanor: Normal General Body: Healthy	 Poor Normal Timid Aggressiv Poor Wounds 	e D Other Other
		16.131







CRM	Date	Complaint/Offence	Result
AC086293	07-09-2021	Wandering dog - Bruno	No dog sighted on patrol
	12:22 PM		
AC085581	09-07-2021	Wandering, barking and unregistered black aggressive dog	Advised Don to keep dogs secure. Complainant
	11:48 AM	which chases people, cars and mobility scooters.	doesn't know victims and no victims came forward. Info only.
		Budding day I and and complained holimos the daws are	No harking heard during monitor
ALU84011	13-04-2021 5:34 PM	barking dog. Loud and complainant believes the dogs at e vicious.	
AC083669	25-03-2021	Barking and fighting dogs on property	No barking heard nor dogs fighting noise during
	4:06 PM		monitor. SPCA advised of concerns.
AC081743	04-12-2020	Wandering dog - wants dog impounded as months long issue	No result. No notes.
	1:08 PM	and she is fed up and it's an attack dog	
AC081511	18-11-2020 10-75 AM	Unregistered dog. Unable to understand Don. He	Called Don and advise 'Titus' is registered to at her address
	IAIN CTINT		
AC080844	06-10-2020	Wandering dog	No dog sighted on patrol
	11:50 AM		
AC077626	04-02-2020	Wandering dog, tan colored unknown breed on	No dog sighted on patrol
	2:29 PM	Rd	
AC077434	23-01-2020	Caller has made numerous complaints about Don Hedges'	WDC responded advising complainant that Mr
	1:27 PM	dog which is allowed to wander and is never walked but gets	Hedges has been spoken to and infringed on
		out	several occasions
AC077124	29-12-2019	Wandering dog - follow up call to get outcome for Bruno	Don spoken to and he advised 'Bruno' has been
	10:07 AM	getting into refuse job 2-3 weeks ago	possibly stolen 2 weeks ago, advised to inform
			police of theft
AC076861	16-12-2019	Wandering dog - one of Don's dogs golden/brindle. Dog was	Patrol of area no dog seen roaming. Don's property
	8:49 AM	out last night, came onto callers property and she is worried	checked - dog sighted at address. Don not home.
* COTFCTC	0100 00 01	about field at the second s	Stocke to Don - no does at address of her than
ACU/36/6	AT07-60-01	Property check - for compliance of proparional yowner	שטטהר וט טטוו - ווט מטפט פר פמעוכטט טנוורו ניומוו ואבירהו
	12:14 PM		
AC075570	03-09-2019	Collection	Titus' collected from Don as he is not allowed more
	11:44 AM		than one dog as a probationary dog owner
AC075481	28-08-2019	Hand deliver Mr Hedges the outcome of the probationary	Don asleep in his vehicle with 2 dogs. Advised to
	9:39 AM	dog owner hearing. If second dog at address give 3 days to	return 'Titus' to or surrender dog to us to
		return to its owner	ting owner

AC075305	15-08-2019	Property check requested by Don to check suitability of	Property check conducted - 'Bruno' sighted inside
	1:48 PM	housing more then 1 dog in relation to classification as	dwelling, Don not home. Fencing deemed
		probationary owner	inadequate.
AC075188	08-08-2019 2:15 PM	Wandering dog - tan mastiff no collar	Patrolled area and no dogs sighted
AC073604	03-05-2019 1:15 PM	Request from Don to keep another dog Shadow at his address	Officer stood down as advised council are working with Don
AC073495	30-04-2019	Property Check - uplift any dogs at Don's property excluding	Shadow' had been in Don's care as owner in
	11:50 AM	Bruno. Impound Shadow if located in Don's care	hospital, however 'Shadow' missing from Don's currently. 'Shadow' impounded as found wandering
			by member of public
AC073436	24-04-2019	Another request from Don to keep dog Shadow at his	Issue dealt with by Nina Council - copy of email
	4:22 PM	address	response printed for Don at reception
AC073122	01-04-2019 3:18 PM	Dog issue - dog named	Issue dealt with by council Kathryn Kandy
AC072683	01-03-2019	Unregistered dog - dog was in Pak N Save carpark and	No dogs other than 'Bruno' at Don's address
	1:17 PM	returned to Don's car	
AC072674	01-03-2019	Wandering dog	Patrolled area and no dogs sighted
	12:23 PM		
AC072303	07-02-2019	Disqualification query from Don	Council legal team dealt with complaint -
	8:18 AM		
AC072256	04-02-2019 10:58 AM	Property Check - for probationary owner.	Only 'Bruno' sighted on property as per probation
AC072139	29-01-2019	Don requests photo evidence that a dog named Wally was	Don emailed by Nina Darling at council
	11:06 AM	put to sleep by ENL years ago and not rehomed. Don said he wasn't disqualified at the time	
AC071261	03-12-2018	Property Check - Suitability of property for confining dogs	Council stood officer down as they no longer
	12:30 PM		require property check
AC071192	28-11-2018	Request to terminate probationary classification	Mr Hedges advised he can only have 2 dogs on his
	11:05 AM		property
AC070991	26-11-2018	Attack. Dog Vs Dog. Follow on from CRM AC070749	Info only
	_		
AC068874	13-08-2018 11:45 AM	Property check - for probationary owner. Please check that Bruno is the only dog on the property	Sighted 'Bruno' off his property. Checked property and no dogs located.

Date	Complaint/Offence	Result
15-03-2010	Objection to classification of dog	Letter sent to Don by management
15-10-2010	Dog issues - Don is concerned over delay in processing of request	Both of Don's dogs returned
25-05-2015	Rushing - gate was open, dog ran towards complainant on Don's property. Dog did not leave address.	No action justified, all dogs chipped and registered
05-01-2016	Dog issues - reports hearing gunshots from bush behind ENL pound and is concerned dogs are being put down inhumanely	Kevin Crocombe assigned complaint - no result/outcome noted
27-06-2017	Rushing - One black and one white dog	Patrol of area couldn't locate dogs and nobody home
18-12-2017	Dog issues - treasing teasing ther dog to make it bark so they can make barking complaints against it	Passed to management
12-11-2018	Attack dog vs dog Pitbull entire male at 03:00hrs. Complainants dog badly injured	Statement taken from complainant no matching dog at follow up completed on AC070991
29-11-2018	Impound - Pitbull residing/under care of Don Hedges impounded	Owner surrendered dog as can't afford fees, dog destroyed. Don warned that he is only meant to have Bruno in his care and the third dog at address must go back to its owner
25-01-2019	Dog issues - Claims D Hedges of Claims D Hedges of Claims D Hedges of Claims D Hedges of Claims District	Complainant advised the 3 dogs with Don are owned and registered to him
28-02-2019	Wandering dogs	Patrol of area and no dogs found wandering
14-05-2019	Property check - please uplift any dogs on Mr Hedges property excluding Bruno	Bruno sighted at address. Another dog Shadow uplifted and impounded
28-08-2019	Letter from Don requesting date/time change for probationary dog ownership decision	Nina closed via email - no further outcome info noted
14-10-2019	Wandering dogs - court order for only one dog at address yet people and their dogs are staying there	Phoned Don twice no answer. Visiting dogs are not owned by Don
18-11-2020	Wandering dogs - Pitbull on	No dog sighted on patrol. Visited Don who advises the dog Titus belongs to the who works out of town. Advised dog needs to be chipped and registered

17-05-2021	Rushing dog - aggressive Pitbull rushed at and bailed	ggressive Pitbull rushed at and bailed Statement taken from complainant and grandson. Don was aware of
	up complainant's grandson who is now terrified to	's grandson who is now terrified to incident and advised of FCC infringement
	walk up road	

Appendix G



Forum North, Private Bag 9023 Whangarei 0148, New Zealand Telephonei +64 9 430 4200 Facsinille: +64 9 438 7632 Email: meilroom@wdc.govt.nz Websitc. www.wdc.govt.nz

10 July 2018

Donald Hedges

Dear Mr Hedges

Notice of Classification as Probationary Owner - Section 21, Dog Control Act 1996

This is to notify you¹ that you have been classified as a probationary owner under section 21 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

This is because under section 21(2) You have committed three or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months.

Infringement Date Offence Outcome

Infringement	Date	Offence	Outcome
17395	8/9/2016	Failure to keep dog controlled and confined (Section 52 A)	Paid
D501607	14/11/2016	Failure to keep dog controlled and confined (Section 52 A)	Paid
D503109 D503108	16/11/2017	Failure to keep dog controlled and confined (Section 52 A)	Paid

The classification will apply from 16 November 2017 until 16 November 2019, unless earlier terminated by Whangarei Council.

A summary of the effect of the classification and your right to object is provided over the page.

Yours sincerely

ſ

Reiner Mussle Manager Health and Bylaws

¹ For the purposes of the Dog Control Act 1998, you are the owner of a dog if:

. You own the dog; or

You have the dog in your possession (otherwise than for a period not exceeding 72 hours for the purpose of preventing the dog causing injury, or damage, or distress, or for the sole purpose of restoring a lost dog to its owner); or
 You are the parent or guardian of a person under 16 who is the owner of the dog and who is a member of your household living with and dependent on you.

Received 12/7/2018 00 1152 Jonald M. K. hell He dges MAA

witness . Offer#92



Appendix H



In reply please quote

Or ask for

Nina Darling, Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator

20 August 2019

Don Hedges

Dear Mr Hedges

RE: Decision - Objection to Probationary Owner Classification

Please find attached a copy of the Committee's decision in relation to your objection to probationary dog owner classification. While the probationary owner classication was upheld, it will expire on 16 November 2019.

In the meantime, if a boarder or other person leaves you with the care of an additional dog for more than three days please contact Armourguard to let them know.

From 16 November this year you will be entitled to own additional dogs. If you take on extra dogs after 16 November 2019 it will be very important for you to ensure that they are not allowed to wander.

Yours faithfully

Reiner Mussle Manager - Health and Bylaws



Report and Decision of the Whangarei District Council through its Exemptions and Objections Committee Meeting held in the Council Chambers, Forum North, Whangarei on 14 August 2019 Commencing at 12.00 Noon

A Committee of the Whangarei District Council was convened to determine an application lodged by Mr Donald Hedges objecting to classification as a probationary dog owner in accordance with s26 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Present	Hearings Committee Councillor Greg Innes (Chairman) Her Worship the Mayor Sheryl Mai Councillor Shelley Deeming Councillor Sue Glen
Applicant	Mr Donald Hedges
In Attendance	Nina Darling, (Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator), Reiner Mussle (Manager Health and Bylaws), Shaun Holland (Animal Control Officer – Armourguard) C Brindle (Senior Democracy Advisor)

1 Objection

The hearing is to consider an objection received from Donald Hedges to classification as a probationary owner.

2 Staff Report

Nina Darling, Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator referred to the written report circulated to all parties prior to the hearing, which included the statutory considerations that the committee must have regard to in making its determination.

Mr Hedges had been classified as a probationary owner following incurring three infringements related to separate incidents within a twenty four month period. The classification period was from 16 November 2017 to 16 November 2019. The Committee was being asked to consider whether the probationary classification should be terminated or upheld. If the probationary classification was upheld there were approximately three months until the classification would expire in any event.

Nina Darling highlighted a note in the report indicating that for a short period Mr Hedges had recently had the care of an additional dog that he had previously owned. During that time the dog had wandered and Armourguard had expended time and resources attempting to locate the dog.

3 Presentation of objection

Mr Hedges addressed the circumstances in which the dog 'Koha' had escaped leading to the final infringement that had ted to his classification as probationary owner. 'Koha' had been able to slip out of his collar, but this was not the case with the dog, which he was currently entitled to own as an existing dog under the probationary classification.

Shaun Holland, Animal Control Officer provided some background to the incidents that had given rise to the classification. He advised that Mr Hedges was a caring dog owner and that since the classification had been imposed, and so long as Mr Hedges had only had one dog, there had been

CED-


no issues. However when there was more than one dog there was a tendency for them to wander together if not adequately confined. The nature of Mr Hedge's property was such that it would be difficult to fully fence.

Mr Hedges had boarders who sometimes owned dogs themselves and for as long as the classification was in force he needed to be aware that he could not care for another dog for longer than 72 hours. If one of his boarders went away and left a dog with him for more than 72 hours then he needed to call Armourguard to uplift the dog to ensure its care until the dog owner returned. This was for Mr Hedges own safety and protection.

In response to a question from the Committee as to whether he would consider constructing a run or other way of keeping a dog confined, in lieu of fencing the property, Mr Hedges advised that he was not able to do that.

The committee determined

The Committee having heard the objection to the probationary owner classification determined:

That the probationary ownership classification be upheld.

Noting that:

The classification would expire in any event on 16 November 2019

In reaching the decision the committee had regard to the matters set out in the s22(3) of the Dog Control Act.

Right of appeal

There is no statutory right of appeal

Issued this 21st day of August 2019

www.

Councillor Greg Innes Chairperson



Appendix H⁷



In reply please ask for Zane Kumar Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator

01 May 2023

Donald Hedges

Dear Mr Hedges,

Decision of the Exemptions and Objections Committee hearing of objection to disqualification.

Please find attached the decision of the Exemptions and Objections Committee following the hearing on 04 April 2023 of your objection to disqualify you from owning dogs.

The Exemptions and Objections Committee has **upheld** the decision to disqualify you from owning dogs. The **disqualification expires on 27 March 2025.**

As per section 28 of the Dog Control Act 1996 you must now comply with the following:

- Within 14 days of the date on which notice of the decision of the Exemptions and Objections Committee is given to you, dispose of every dog owned by you: and
- Not subsequently be in possession of a dog at any time, except for the purpose of-
 - Preventing a dog from causing injury, damage, or distress; or
 - Returning, within 72 hours, a lost dog to the territorial authority for the purpose of restoring the dog to its owner.

Please note that every dog disposed of under section 28 (1) of the Dog Control Act 1996 shall be:

- Be disposed of in a manner that does not constitute an offence against this or any other Act; and
- not be disposed of to any person who resides at the same address as the person disqualified.

Right of Appeal:

In accordance with section 27 of the Dog Control Act 1996, any person who has lodged an objection under section 26 and is dissatisfied with the decision of the territorial authority may, within 14 days of the date on which notice of that decision is, under section 26(4), given to that person, appeal to the District Court against that decision.

Yours faithfully

Reiner Mussle Manager - Health and Bylaws

Appendix H1



Private Bag 9023, Whangarei 0148, New Zealand P +64 9 430 4200 | 0800 WDC INFO | 0800 932 463 E mailroom@wdc.govt.nz www.wdc.govt.nz

Report and Decision of the Whangarei District Council through its Exemptions and Objections Committee Meeting held in the Council Chambers, Forum North, Whangarei on 4th April 2023 commencing at 9.00am

A Sub Committee of the Whangarei District Council was convened to determine an objection to Disqualification from Dog Ownership under Sections 25 and 26 of the Dog Control Act 1996.

Present	Hearings Committee Cr Ken Couper (Chairperson) His Worship the Mayor Vince Cocurullo Cr Patrick Holmes
Applicant	Not in attendance
In Attendance	Reiner Mussle (Manager Health and Bylaws) Tylah Clark (Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator) (Teams) Peter Banks (Manager – Animal Management - Armourguard) Christine Crawford (Executive Officer Animal Management - Armourguard)
	N Pestana (Democracy Team Leader) D Garner (Democracy Adviser)

Objection

An objection in terms of section 26 of the Dog Control Act 1996 has been made in response to a disqualification from dog ownership imposed under section of the Dog Control Act 1996.

At the meeting

The Chairperson Councillor Ken Couper introduced the Hearing and briefly outlined the structure of the proceedings. The Committee noted absence of the objector Donald Hedges, and that no apology or reason for absence had been received. The meeting was adjourned to allow for the possible late arrival of the objector. The meeting readjourned without the objector present and the Committee resolved to consider the objection. Council Officers were then invited to present Council's case.

Council Officer's report

By way of introduction Tylah Clark referred to the written report circulated to all parties prior to the hearing and to the statutory considerations in the Dog Control Act 1996 which the Committee must have regard to in making its determination.

Section 25 of the Dog Control Act 1996 provides that a territorial authority must disqualify a person from being an owner of a dog if the person commits three or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months. In this case, Mr Hedges received three infringements, relating to three separate occasions within a twenty-four-month period, and subsequently, Council disqualified Mr Hedges from dog ownership.

Section 26(4) of the Dog Control Act 1996 gives the power to the Committee to consider the objection lodged by Mr Hedges and uphold, bring forward the date of termination or immediately terminate disqualification.

Peter Banks provided some background to the incidents leading to the recommendation to the disqualification from dog ownership, noting a lengthy history and extensive involvement with Animal Control. He noted Mr Hedges is a nice man who loves his dogs but cannot or will not accept ownership of the dogs and the dogs wander.

1

Appendix H1

Presentation of objection

Donald Hedges was not in attendance.

In Summary:

In response to questions to the staff from the Committee, it was clarified that:

- The disqualification from dog ownership expires 27 March 2025.
- Concerns have been transferred to the SPCA due to the condition of the dogs.
- Mr Hedges was personally handed a copy of the notice to attend the hearing.

Statutory Considerations

Section 26(3) of the Dog Control Act 1996, gives the power to the Committee to consider the objection, which may uphold or rescind the classification having regard to:

- a) The circumstances and nature of the offence or offences in respect of which the person was disqualified; and
- b) The competency of the person objecting in terms of responsible dog ownership; and;
- c) The matters advance in support of the objection
- d) Any other relevant matters.

The committee determined

The Committee had regard to the statutory considerations in reaching its determination to **uphold** the disqualification from dog ownership imposed on Donald Hedges.

The Committee's reasons for their determination are:

- The owner has an extensive history of poor dog management.
- No new evidence was presented that would suggest the disqualification should be altered.

Right of appeal

In accordance with section 27 of the Dog Control Act 1996, a person who has lodged an objection under section 26 and is dissatisfied with the decision of the territorial authority may appeal to the District Court against that decision.

Issued this 27th day of April 2023.

K.J. len

Councillor Ken Couper Chairperson



In reply, please quote Or ask for Hearing of your objection Zane Kumar

04/04/2024

Donald Hedges



Te Iwitahi, 9 Rust Avenue, Whangārei Private Bag 9023, Te Mai, Whangārei 0143, New Zealand P +64 9 430 4200 E mailroom@wdc.govt.nz www.wdc.govt.nzlContactUs

Dear Donald

Hearing of your objection to dog owner disqualification

The purpose of this letter is to acknowledge that Council has received your objection to your dog owner disqualification status by letter on 02 April 2024. Council has organised a date with the appropriate committee for your objection to be heard and a decision to be made on your disqualification status.

Please understand if you are not able to attend the time and date set out below, and you do not get in touch with us to postpone, the hearing will go ahead and a decision to uphold or not uphold your disqualification status could be made without the committee hearing your objection.

Therefore, I suggest advising one of our animal control officers at Armourguard or Zane Kumar the Bylaws Enforcement Co-ordinator via email to <u>zane.kumar@wdc.govt.nz</u> to advise whether you **will or will not attend**.

- Your objection will be heard by the Exemptions and Objections committee at **9:30am 22** April 2024.
- The hearing will be held in the Council Chambers, in Te Iwitahi (Civic Centre), in Rust Avenue, Whangarei.

The Council Chambers are located on the ground level, in the new Civic Center building on Rust Avenue. You are welcome to sit in the gallery until the meeting starts.

You may wish to bring a support person with you, and it is helpful to the committee if the submission you wish to make is in writing.

If possible, please bring additional copies of you submission for the committee and staff in attendance (approximately 9). If you are not able to make any copies of the submission, then please let the Senior Democracy Advisor know on the day (ask reception for this person).



District Council

The Council Officer's/contractors report will be sent out to you before the meeting so that you are aware of the information that the committee will have in front of them.

The Chairperson directs the procedure of the hearing but broadly hearings of objections to disqualifications follow the below approach:

- 1. The Chairperson opens the proceedings by introducing the committee and asks parties to introduce themselves and their witnesses.
- 2. Staff will briefly outline the objection.
- 3. The Council Officer's/contractor's report, which has been circulated prior to the hearing, is taken as read.
- 4. The objector (you) presents their case, including any supporting evidence from witnesses. It is helpful to the Committee if the objector's main points or a summary of their case is provided in writing (this is optional, not a requirement).
- 5. Council officer's/contractors will speak on their report and is available to answer questions.
- 6. Only the objector is given the opportunity to have a right to reply. This gives you the chance to clarify matters raised in the Council officer's/contractor's report but not to present new evidence.
- 7. Final questions of clarification.
- 8. The Chairperson adjourns the hearing to deliberate on its decision based on the evidence submitted, following which the objector will be notified in writing of the decision.

Yours faithfully

Zane Kumar Bylaw Enforcement Coordinator

Appendix J

Disqualification of owners

25Disqualification of owners

(1)

A territorial authority must disqualify a person from being an owner of a dog if— (a)

the person commits 3 or more infringement offences (not relating to a single incident or occasion) within a continuous period of 24 months; or

(b)

the person is convicted of an offence (not being an infringement offence) against this Act; or

(c)

the person is convicted of an offence against <u>Part 1</u> or <u>Part 2</u> of the Animal Welfare Act 1999, <u>section 26ZZP</u> of the Conservation Act 1987, or <u>section 56I</u> of the National Parks Act 1980.

(1A)

Subsection (1) does not apply if the territorial authority is satisfied that the circumstances of the offence or offences are such that—

(a)

disqualification is not warranted; or

(b)

the territorial authority will instead classify the person as a probationary owner under section 21.

(2)

For the purposes of subsection (1)(a), a person must be treated as having committed an infringement offence if—

(a)

that person has been ordered to pay a fine and costs under <u>section 375</u> of the Criminal Procedure Act 2011, or is deemed to have been so ordered under <u>section 21(5)</u> of the Summary Proceedings Act 1957; or

(b)

the infringement fee specified on the infringement notice in respect of the offence issued to the person under <u>section 66</u> has been paid.

(3)

A disqualification under subsection (1) continues in force for a period specified by the territorial authority not exceeding 5 years from the date of the third infringement offence or offences (as the case may be) in respect of which the person is disqualified. (4)

If a person is disqualified under subsection (1), the territorial authority must, as soon as practicable, give written notice in the prescribed form to the person of that decision.



26Objection to disqualification

(1)

Every person disqualified under section 25—

(a)

may object to the disqualification by lodging with the territorial authority a written objection to the disqualification; and

(b)

shall be entitled to be heard in support of the objection.

(2)

An objection under this section may be lodged at any time but no objection shall be lodged within 12 months of the hearing of any previous objection to the disqualification. (3)

In considering any objection under this section, the territorial authority shall have regard to—

(a)

the circumstances and nature of the offence or offences in respect of which the person was disqualified; and

(b)

the competency of the person objecting in terms of responsible dog ownership; and (c)

any steps taken by the owner to prevent further offences; and

(d)

the matters advanced in support of the objection; and

(e)

any other relevant matters.

(4)

In determining any objection, the territorial authority may uphold, bring forward the date of termination, or immediately terminate the disqualification of any person and shall give written notice of its decision, the reasons for it, and the right of appeal under <u>section 27</u> to the objector.

27Appeal to District Court

(1)

Any person who has lodged an objection under section 26 and is dissatisfied with the decision of the territorial authority may, within 14 days of the date on which notice of that decision is, under section 26(4), given to that person, appeal to the District Court against that decision.

(2)

The District Court, in hearing the appeal, shall consider the matters specified in <u>section</u> <u>26(3)</u> and any submission by the territorial authority in support of its decision, and may uphold the determination, bring forward the date of termination, or immediately terminate the disqualification.

Appendix J

28Effect of disqualification

(1)

Subject to this section, if a person is disqualified from owning a dog under <u>section 25</u> the person must,—

(a)

within 14 days of the date on which notice of the decision is given to the person, dispose of every dog owned by the person; and

(b)

not subsequently be in possession of a dog at any time, except for the purpose of— (i)

preventing a dog from causing injury, damage, or distress; or

(ii)

returning, within 72 hours, a lost dog to the territorial authority for the purpose of restoring the dog to its owner.

(2)

Every dog disposed of under subsection (1)(a)—

(a)

shall be disposed of in a manner that does not constitute an offence against this or any other Act; and

(b)

shall not be disposed of to any person who resides at the same address as the person disqualified.

(3)

Where any person has, within 14 days after the date on which the notice of disqualification under section 25(4) is given to that person, lodged an objection under section 26, subsection (1) of this section shall apply in relation to that person as if the reference in that subsection to section 25(4) were a reference to section 26(4). (4)

Where any person has, within 14 days after the date on which the notice under <u>section</u> 26(4) is given to that person in respect of an objection to which subsection (3) of this section refers, lodged an appeal under <u>section 27</u>, subsection (1) of this section shall apply in relation to that person as if the reference in that subsection to the date on which the notice under <u>section 25(4)</u> was given to that person were a reference to the date of the decision of the District Court on that appeal.

(5)

Every person commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding \$3,000 who—

(a)

fails to comply with subsection (1); or

(b)

fails, in disposing of a dog under subsection (1), to comply with subsection (2); or

(c)

at any time while disqualified under <u>section 25</u>, becomes the owner of any dog in terms of this Act; or

(d)

disposes or gives custody or possession of any dog to any person, knowing that person to be disqualified under <u>section 25</u>.

(6)

Appendix J

Where any person is convicted of an offence against paragraph (a) or paragraph (c) of subsection (5), the territorial authority may extend the period of disqualification of that person until a date not later than 5 years after the date on which the offence occurred. (7)

Where any person fails to comply with subsection (1), any dog control officer may seize any dog owned by that person and, for that purpose, may, at any reasonable time, with all persons he or she calls to his or her assistance, enter onto the land or premises, including any dwellinghouse, of the owner of the dog.

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

That the public be excluded from the following parts of proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

1.	The making available of information would be likely to unreasonably prejudice the commercial position of persons who are the subject of the information. {Section 7(2)(c)}
2,	To enable the council (the committee) to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage commercial negotiations. {(Section 7(2)(i)}.
3.	To protect the privacy of natural persons. {Section 7(2)(a)}.
4.	Publicity prior to successful prosecution of the individuals named would be contrary to the laws of natural justice and may constitute contempt of court. {Section 48(1)(b)}.
5.	To protect information which is the subject to an obligation of confidence, the publication of such information would be likely to prejudice the supply of information from the same source and it is in the public interest that such information should continue to be supplied. {Section7(2)(c)(i)}.
6.	In order to maintain legal professional privilege. {Section 2(g)}.
7.	To enable the council to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations {Section 7(2)(i)}.

Resolution to allow members of the public to remain

If the council/committee wishes members of the public to remain during discussion of confidential items the following additional recommendation will need to be passed:

be

Move/Second

"That

permitted to remain at this meeting, after the public has been excluded, because of his/her/their knowledge of <u>Item</u>.

This knowledge, which will be of assistance in relation to the matter to be discussed, is relevant to that matter because______.

Note:

Every resolution to exclude the public shall be put at a time when the meeting is open to the public.