

Whangarei District Council

Tuesday 13 and Wednesday 14 April 2021

Submissions relating to the Long Term Plan 2021 - 2031

Volume Eleven

Table Of Contents

Pullmann M	2
Rachael	5
Rasmussen M	8
Reid S	11
Riehl P	15
Roberts R	18
Robinson N	19
Rouse Motorcycles	22
Rovs T	25
Roys T Scholz C - attach 1	28
Scott V	30
Secker J	34
Semenoff F	37
Shearer D	40
Sherman R	43
Slavich J	46
Smart P	51
Smith-Iri R	55
Soderling J	58
SPCA - ăttach	
Sumner C	70
Takahiwai Rugby League Club	77
Thew C	81
Thompson A	
Tomason J	
Trass S	92
Trass S	95
Turner J	
Vercoe K	
Warren J	
Watson C	107
West F	
Whittles S	
Williams L	116
Wilson C	119
Winters L	123
Winton J	126
Woollams R	131

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:31 Mar 2021 02:44:56 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Malcolm Eric Pullman - 2021-LTP-SUB-330Subject:

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Malcolm Eric Pullman - 2021-LTP-SUB-330

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-330

Your details:

Name:	Malcolm Eric Pullman
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	1379 Matapouri Road, Sandy Bay, Whangarei, 0173
Best phone number:	0274904482
Email:	aqualine@orcon.net.nz

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in years one to three
Why?	Feel there is further scope for more efficiencies in council management. Local examples: Continued mowing of foreshore grass areas at Sandy Bay in winter when there is very little if any growth. Use of flail mowers on hydraulic arms of tractor to control roadside weeds and trees without follow up clearance, often causing blocked culverts and amplified erosion from run off which requires expensive remedial work.
Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24) Why?	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	With so much of the council's infrastructure within a meter or so of existing high tide levels (some of it less) this is an issue that

requires	prompt	and	nivotal	leadership.	
requires	prompt	ana	protai	icuacionip.	

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.
Why?	With the council's commitment to redeveloping the Forum North precinct and the near competition of the Hundertwasser project some improvement in the linkage between the two is probably desirable. But the changes in commerce and public servicing of the last few years, especially those enabled by e-commerce, have shown so much change that the concept of city centers may yet change dramatically.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Roading:

Consideration should be given to making it mandatory in the planning for all new and/or roading improvements to either include or make provision for cycleways, walkways or scooter paths. This should apply in all areas but particularly so in the highly used coastal areas.

Coastal erosion:

Greater emphasis is required on identifying low lying or otherwise likely to be threatened areas and publicising the risks involved.

In general, managed retreat should be preferred to expensive coastal defences.

Freedom Camping:

Consideration should be given to greatly simplifying the planning and consent procedures to allow private land owners to develope basic "Not quite freedom, but fairly cheap", camping sites to take the pressure off already over-used public spaces.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 03:55:07 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Rachael - 2021-LTP-SUB-490

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Rachael - 2021-LTP-SUB-490

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-490

Your details:

Name:	Rachael
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	
Best phone number:	
Email:	

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in
pay for what we need -	years one to three
Rates Options (see page	
17)	
Why?	Rates are increasing but what are we getting in return for it?

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North.
Why?	There are more important things we need to spend money on. Whangārei needs passive income, the money-earners (20-30) have absolutely nothing to do in this town and are therefore leaving, setting up live elsewhere etc. Put money and focus back into reigniting town central

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.
Why?	These streets don't need to be re-done. They need SHOPS.

What is the point of spending money if there's nothing there to visit? Rolling a turd in glitter doesn't fix the problem.
Limit commerical rates, redue rent in town so that businesses
can open in town and remain open. So many empty.buildings

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

* town central has so many empty shops. Empty shops means less passive income. Less people coming into town, less revenue and increases online shopping and outsourcing. Focus on the CAUSE of this and work out how to fix it.

* Young money earners are leaving. There's nothing to do here unless you are old or have a family. Bring back the night life or more recreational places that don't only adhere to family.

LONG TERM PLAN 2021-31 FEEDBACK FORM

THE CLOSING DATE FOR FEEDBACK IS THURSDAY 1 APRIL 2021



WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL

Q

3 1 WAR 2021

We would love your feedback on some key issues for our District.

POINTS TO REMEMBER WHEN SUBMITTING YOUR FEEDBACK

- Please print clearly. Make sure it can be easily photocopied, read and understood.
- All feedback is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, so it may be published and made available to elected members and the public.
- Your feedback will not be returned to you once lodged with Council. Please keep a copy for your reference.
- You can also attend a hearing, scheduled for 13-14 April, to speak to your submission.

HOW TO GET THIS FORM TO US

Mail to: Long Term Plan feedback, Whangarei District Council, Private Bag 9023, Whangārei 0148 Email to: mailroom@wdc.govt.nz

Deliver to: Customer Services, Forum North, Rust Ave, Whangārei or Ruakākā Service Centre, Takutai Place, Ruakākā

YOUR DETAILS

Name

Mark Rasmussen

I am making this submission as:	Ø	An individual	0	On behalf of an organisation
---------------------------------	---	---------------	---	------------------------------

Bream Bay Drive

Organisation name

Postal address

Best n	umber to contact you on	0218181	32	4328355
Email	ruakakare	sources	0	quail.com

Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission at a VYes O No traditional hearing on 13-14 April?

KEY ISSUE - CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY (SEE PAGE 28)

- **OPTION 1:** Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
- OPTION 2: Put \$7.4 of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.

OPTION 3: No new funding towards climate change or waste minimisation.

WHY? was Separa 0 Sat the l change @1010a imate MISKS ochia amo ca MISIN orma ON **FLOV** 101 a poor O merger MADO 15 MON al OMO **KEY ISSUE - REVITALISING OUR CITY CENTRE (SEE PAGE 32)**

OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James Street and John Street.

OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James Street and John Street as well as either Robert Street or Cameron Street.

OPTION 3: No additional funding for the City Centre.

105 policies over WHY? COUNCI businesses V erma ves Ulopalist aders ua vourno avol m TY 119 aty centre 15 06 MON payetis 8 a 1VOru new

TELL US WHAT YOU THINK - ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE KEY ISSUES OR OTHER POINTS RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT AS legal Class AC

ctions ave IMPOU 0 overnance . lepayer we Page 9 of 135

YOUR FEEDBACK

Please give us your feedback on the key issues raised in the Consultation Document.

KEY ISSUE - HOW WILL WE PAY FOR WHAT WE NEED - RATES OPTIONS (SEE PAGE 17)

OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021-22) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'.

Rates increase in years two to ten (2022-31) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.

OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only across ten years of the Plan WHY? ous ne **KEY ISSUE - SPACES FOR GATHERING (SEE PAGE 24)** a TION

- OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and
 - Events Centre, Hihiaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
- OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at Forum North. Please state which site in your comments.
- **OPTION 3:** Build a Whangarei District Council–owned theatre on the current Forum North site.

175	Money	has	alrea	dy been
eo	mmitted	to	Q	new
hu	ilding	for	the	increasing
Ce	uncit	Stell.		
Co	uncil	Staff.		



1 APR 2021 WHANGAREI DISTRICT COUNCIL

The second

HAVE YOUR SAY KÕREROTIA!

This Consultation Document outlines what we are proposing for the next 10 years.

We want you to tell us what you think of this Plan, the options we have outlined, and what we are proposing. We are also consulting on changes to our fees and charges and various policies. All of the supporting documents are available on our website. If you do not have access to the internet or would prefer a hard copy, please call us to request them.

CONCURRENT CONSULTATIONS

Alongside this Consultation Document we are also consulting on our:

- Revenue and Financing Policy
- Rates, Remissions and Postponement Policy
- · Development Contributions Policy
- Fees and Charges 2021-22

Consultation on these policies and fees and charges will be publicly notified on Council's website. People interested in the proposals will be able to present their views during a formal submission period from 1 March to 1 April 2021. This will be followed by formal hearings where any submitter may choose to speak to their submission.

GIVING US YOUR FEEDBACK

You can tell us:

- through the online submission form on our website www.wdc.govt.nz/HaveYourSay
- via email to mailroom@wdc.govt.nz
- in person, by dropping off a completed feedback form at any Council Service Centre, or by calling our contact centre on +64 9 430 4200 (0800 932 463)
- by post, by sending your feedback to our mailing address as shown on the feedback form

You may also like to support your formal submission by posting or messaging on Facebook, www.facebook.com/WhangareiDC.

How to make your feedback as effective as possible

- Use the feedback form and attach extra information, if required. This means we have all the information we need to process your feedback.
- Provide us with your contact details so we can email you, write to you or call you to keep you informed.
- Submissions on operational issues will be directed through our Customer Relations Management (CRM) process.



LONG TERM PLAN 2021-31 FEEDBACK FORM THE CLOSING DATE FOR FEEDBACK IS THURSDAY 1 APRIL 2021

We would love your feedback on some key issues for our District.

POINTS TO REMEMBER WHEN SUBMITTING YOUR FEEDBACK

- Please print clearly. Make sure it can be easily photocopied, read and understood.
- All feedback is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, so it may be published and made available to elected members and the public.
- Your feedback will not be returned to you once lodged with Council. Please keep a copy for your reference.
- You can also attend a hearing, scheduled for 13-14 April, to speak to your submission.

HOW TO GET THIS FORM TO US

Mail to: Long Term Plan feedback, Whangarei District Council, Private Bag 9023, Whangārei 0148 Email to: mailroom@wdc.govt.nz

Deliver to: Customer Services, Forum North, Rust Ave, Whangārei or Ruakākā Service Centre, Takutai Place, Ruakākā

YOUR DETAILS

an making this	submission as:	🞯 An individual	O On behalf of an organisation
Organisation nan	e		
Postal address	HZA ANZ	LAC ROAD.	
	WHANGARE	21	
Best number to c	ontact you on	021-938-2	68
Email reid	.Hde xtra	. co. nz	

YOUR FEEDBACK

Please give us your feedback on the key issues raised in the Consultation Document.

KEY ISSUE - HOW WILL WE PAY FOR WHAT WE NEED - RATES OPTIONS (SEE PAGE 17)

OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021-22) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'.

Rates increase in years two to ten (2022-31) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.

OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only across ten years of the Plan

WHY?	HAPPY	601	TH (DOC	REE	TING		LON	TIME	NT
TO	00	THE	BE	57 F	OR T	HE	DISTRI	CT	AND	GET
A	BALAN	CE	TO	Rou	NOE	SAT	TISFAC	TOR	Y RE	SULTS

KEY ISSUE - SPACES FOR GATHERING (SEE PAGE 24)

- OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
- OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at Forum North. Please state which site in your comments.
- **OPTION 3:** Build a Whangarei District Council–owned theatre on the current Forum North site.

AUDUR OF THE
WILL NOT
FORM AND
AYBE'S

KEY ISSUE - CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY (SEE PAGE 28)

- OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
- **OPTION 2:** Put \$7.4 of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
- OPTION 3: No new funding towards climate change or waste minimisation.

NO						SPEND.	A CAREFUL
STRIE	CTUR	ED	APPR	DACH	IS TH	E MORE	PRUDENT

KEY ISSUE - REVITALISING OUR CITY CENTRE (SEE PAGE 32)

- OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James Street and John Street.
- OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James Street and John Street as well as either Robert Street or Cameron Street.
- OPTION 3: No additional funding for the City Centre.

WHY? LET'S FOLUS ON A STEPPED APPROACH, PLANS	ERS
NEED TO ACTUALLY LISTEN TO COUNCILLORS CONCERN	25
AND SUGGESTIONS IF WE GET THIS WRONCE, WE WILL BI	E
STUCK WITH IT, AND BE REMEMBERED FORATHE WRONG	}
REASONS. TREES IS PUBLIC PLACES CREATE A NUMBER	
OF ISSUES FOR ALL, SO A CANTIOUS APPROACH IS NEED	DED.
TELL US WHAT YOU THINK – ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE KEY ISSUES OR OTHER POINTS RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT	1
ALL IN ENDER TE INVERTISE SPENT ON BOARDIN	50

HW OF INC EASED FAUDUR SPIENI IN FULL SUPPORT OF SUBMISSION FROM THE AX MAUNGATAPERE LED PROJECT TEAM. WE SHOULD REDUCE THE SPEND ON PENSIONER HOLESING BY 2 MILLION DOLLARS. THAT IS NOT PART OF

COUNCIL BUSINESS Page 94 8 135 PUT IS ALREADY \$ 5.4 m.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	31 Mar 2021 23:58:09 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Peter Riehl - 2021-LTP-SUB-431

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Peter Riehl - 2021-LTP-SUB-431

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-431

Your details:

Name:	Peter Riehl
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	1 Douglas Street, Kensington, 0112 Whangarei
Best phone number:	0212462018
Email:	peter.riehl@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%	
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates	
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.	
17)		
Why?		

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
Why?	existing facilities at Forum North).

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James and	
our city centre (see page	John St as well as either Robert St or Cameron Street.	
32)		
Why?		

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:



30 MAR 2021 WHANGAREI

This is a submission asking for the signage and if possible speed bumps to exert some control over speeding in Fairway Drive, particularly on the long straight stretch which links into Whau Valley Rd.

Fairway Drive has become an arterial route - all more reason to vamp up speed control measures for the safety of the public.

We have already logged our concern with the police. I have also received a very helpful reply to an email I sent to WDC chief executive Mr Rob Forlong, who explained that the rapid growth of the city is generating increasing traffic, as everyone is noticing. Fairway Drive was being particularly affected by development to the north and north-west.

Mr Forlong suggested I make a submission. I was advised by a WDC representative this morning to make a submission in this form.

We live on the corner of Elgin Place and Fairway Drive, just below a descending curve.

We have lived here for nearly two years. In that time there has been a big increase in traffic. This includes tradesmen's utes, articulated trucks, speedster motor cyclists and souped-up cars.

It appears a fair proportion is generated by drivers avoiding the Kamo shops. As far as we can see, no-one dares to travel on Kamo Rd at the speeds some are hitting in our street.

Traffic comes from east and west in Three Mile Bush Rd, turns into Clark Rd, then into Braintree St and into Fairway Drive. The curves of the road at this point serve to keep speeds down but as vehicles round the afore-mentioned curve and come down into the long straight stretch down to Whau Valley Rd, they let rip.

A friend over the road was so nervous about the speed at which traffic rounds that corner she put a card table she was lending me in her car and drove it over. She felt she couldn't have got out of the way quickly enough carrying the card table if a vehicle rounded the corner at speed.

We are logging traffic movements past our houses.

Examples: Friday March 19, 4.40-5.40p.m.: 544.

Monday March 29, 8-9a.m., 572 cars, trucks and vans; one bus, three cyclists, three motorbikes.

Same day, 3-4p.m., total 579 movements.

THE TOTAL FOR JUST TWO HOURS YESTERDAY BEING 1151.

I believe a significant number of vehicles are exceeding the speed limit. Some dangerously so. There are no 50mph signs in this stretch of Fairway Dr.

Rosemary Roberts, 2A Elgin Place, Kamo. 09 437 6906, and 021 024 33 523.

LONG TERM PLAN 2021-31 FEEDBACK FORM THE CLOSING DATE FOR FEEDBACK IS THURSDAY 1 APRIL 2021



C

We would love your feedback on some key issues for our District.

POINTS TO REMEMBER WHEN SUBMITTING YOUR FEEDBACK

- Please print clearly. Make sure it can be easily photocopied, read and understood.
- All feedback is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, so it may be published and made available to elected members and the public.
- Your feedback will not be returned to you once lodged with Council. Please keep a copy for your reference.
- You can also attend a hearing, scheduled for 13-14 April, to speak to your submission.

HOW TO GET THIS FORM TO US

Mail to: Long Term Plan feedback, Whangarei District Council, Private Bag 9023, Whangārei 0148 Email to: mailroom@wdc.govt.nz

Deliver to: Customer Services, Forum North, Rust Ave, Whangārei or Ruakākā Service Centre, Takutai Place, Ruakākā

YOUR DETAILS

I am making this submission as:	O An individual	O On behalf of an organisation
Organisation name Smiths	Sport she	RS
Postal address 74 (an	nevon street	
Best number to contact you on	430 8063	
Email		y
	ort of your submissi	on at a O Yes O No



OUR FEE	
	us your feedback on the key issues raised in the Consultation Document.
	- HOW WILL WE PAY FOR WHAT WE NEED - RATES OPTIONS (SEE PAGE 17)
Cost	DN 1: Rates increase in year one (2021-22) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'.
	increase in years two to ten (2022-31) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
O OPTI Plan	ON 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only across ten years of the
WHY?	
There	should be no vates increase.
	I is inefficient and mismanaging rate payers affairs.
Operat	i line to be veduced
O OPT Even	E – SPACES FOR GATHERING (SEE PAGE 24) ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments.
 OPT Even OPT Cont Foru OPT Foru 	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at
O OPT Even O OPT Cont Foru	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments. ION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current im North site.
 OPT Even OPT Cont Foru OPT Foru 	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments. ION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current
O OPT Even O OPT Conf Foru O OPT Foru WHY?	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments. ION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current im North site.
O OPT Even O OPT Conf Foru O OPT Foru WHY?	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments. ION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current im North site.
O OPT Even O OPT Conf Foru O OPT Foru WHY?	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments. ION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current im North site.
O OPT Even O OPT Conf Foru O OPT Foru WHY?	ION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and its Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). ION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing ference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at im North. Please state which site in your comments. ION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current im North site.

÷

KEY ISSUE - CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY (SEE PAGE 28)

- **OPTION 1:** Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
- **OPTION 2:** Put \$7.4 of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
- OFTION 3: No new funding towards climate change or waste minimisation.

WHY?

KEY ISSUE - REVITALISING OUR CITY CENTRE (SEE PAGE 32)

- OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James Street and John Street.
- OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James Street and John Street as well as either Robert Street or Cameron Street.
- OPTION 3: No additional funding for the City Centre.

WHY?

Council throughout the years has received generous James & John Streets yet, has blown vates hom Vehicle access reasec else. ought not De dec Somewhere

TELL US WHAT YOU THINK - ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE KEY ISSUES OR OTHER POINTS RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

pologr increase in debt is grossy excessive to \$361m. \$195m

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:30 Mar 2021 09:27:11 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - rouse motorcycles - 2021-LTP-SUB-300

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - rouse motorcycles - 2021-LTP-SUB-300

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-300

Your details:

Name:	rouse motorcycles
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	p o box 50 hikurangi
Best phone number:	094338471
Email:	shayn@rousemc.co.nz

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No	

1	at the hearing?		

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	
pay for what we need -	
Rates Options (see page	
17)	
Why?	

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	
Why?	

Key issue - Climate	
change and sustainability	
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising
our city centre (see page
32)
Why?

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Gomez Park

The WDC owns a large block of land on Gomez Road which has previously been identified as a potential development site for "Gomez Park", a sought after outdoor recreational facility. With the possibility to bring some dying sports back to life by providing much sort after event grounds, sports such as archery and mountain biking will have a chance at keeping their clubs alive within our district. The "trail track" will provide much needed funding to local community groups if they are able to host a horse trek, mountain bike ride, fun run/walk, or trail bike ride up to once per month. The benefits of developing this land far outweighs the cons and this project has already been on council development plans in the past, so half the work has already been done.

LONG TERM PLAN 2021-31 FEEDBACK FORM THE CLOSING DATE FOR FEEDBACK IS **THURSDAY 1 APRIL 2021**

We would love your feedback on some key issues for our District.

POINTS TO REMEMBER WHEN SUBMITTING YOUR FEEDBACK

- Please print clearly. Make sure it can be easily photocopied, read and understood.
- All feedback is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, so it may be published and made available to elected members and the public.
- · Your feedback will not be returned to you once lodged with Council. Please keep a copy for your reference.
- You can also attend a hearing, scheduled for 13-14 April, to speak to your submission.

HOW TO GET THIS FORM TO US

Mail to: Long Term Plan feedback, Whangarei District Council, Private Bag 9023, Whangārei 0148 Email to: mailroom@wdc.govt.nz

Deliver to: Customer Services, Forum North, Rust Ave, Whangārei or Ruakākā Service Centre, Takutai Place, Ruakākā

VOUR DETAILS

Name DENDE ROYS PU.	4340105.
I am making this submission as: An inc	lividual O On behalf of an organisation
Organisation name	
Postal address	
54 A WHANGARE?	HEADS ROAD
Best number to contact you on	
Email	/
Do you wish to be heard in support of your s traditional hearing on 13-14 April?	ubmission at a Ves O No





RECEIVED - CUSTOMER SERVICE

3 - MAR 2021

WHANGAREI

DISTRICT COUNCIL

C

YOUR FEEDBACK

Please give us your feedback on the key issues raised in the Consultation Document.

KEY ISSUE - HOW WILL WE PAY FOR WHAT WE NEED - RATES OPTIONS (SEE PAGE 17)

R

OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021-22) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'.

Rates increase in years two to ten (2022-31) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.

OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only across ten years of the Plan

WHY?

should be no rates increase. There Council is inefficient and mismanaging rate payers affairs. Operating costs are excessive and have to be reduced. **KEY ISSUE - SPACES FOR GATHERING (SEE PAGE 24)** OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hiĥiaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North). OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, or existing facilities at Forum North. Please state which site in your comments. OPTION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current Forum North site. WHY? HE DEVELOPMENTS ARE UNWARRANTED AND A WASTE KATERAYERS FUNDS Df Page 26 of 135

KEY ISSUE - CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY (SEE PAGE 28)

- OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
- OPTION 2: Put \$7.4 of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.

OFTION 3: No new funding towards climate change or waste minimisation.

ARE A	H OVER TEX				
(EY ISSUE -	REVITALISING OUR CITY	CENTRE (SEE P	AGE 32)	x.	
	1: Spend \$13m to make in	mprovements to	o James Stre	et and John St	treet.
	2: Spend \$21m to make i	mprovements t	o James Stre	et and John S	treet as
	al opond gami to mano i				
well as	either Robert Street or Ca	ameron Street.			
/			ntre.		
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce		0.155 81	
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding			PUTE S	HIR F
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce		PUTTE SI	HIR f
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce		PUTE S	HINC 4
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce		PUISE SI	HIR f
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce		PUTE SI	HINC 1
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce		putte St	
OPTION	either Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce	£87, C		
OPTION	ither Robert Street or Ca 3: No additional funding	for the City Ce	£87, C		
OPTION	TYOU THINK - ANY FUR	for the City Ce	TS ON THE	KEY ISSUES OF	ROTHER

×,

LONG TERM PLAN 2021-31 FEEDBACK FORM THE CLOSING DATE FOR FEEDBACK IS THURSDAY 1 APRIL 2021



We would love your feedback on some key issues for our District.

POINTS TO REMEMBER WHEN SUBMITTING YOUR FEEDBACK

- Please print clearly. Make sure it can be easily photocopied, read and understood.
- All feedback is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act, so it may be published and made available to elected members and the public.
- Your feedback will not be returned to you once lodged with Council. Please keep a copy for your reference.
- You can also attend a hearing, scheduled for 13-14 April, to speak to your submission.

HOW TO GET THIS FORM TO US

Mail to: Long Term Plan feedback, Whangarei District Council, Private Bag 9023, Whangārei 0148 Email to: mailroom@wdc.govt.nz

Deliver to: Customer Services, Forum North, Rust Ave, Whangārei or Ruakākā Service Centre, Takutai Place, Ruakākā

YOUR DETAILS

I am making this submission as:	An individual On behalf of an organisation
Organisation name	
Postal address	
Best number to contact you on	022 560 7625

TELL US WHAT YOU THINK - ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THE KEY ISSUES OR OTHER POINTS RAISED IN THE CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

am new to New Zealand and WhanGare Indent class visa holder am R an V a N month 01 ls A Bot tus 1 MI axic reed IN D Л no w AN 11 as A wo 7 1 non w C te nic e in 1 Qu 1 10Mc and 1 X 3 0 ULARS 9 zin th intel de 200 N

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	1 Apr 2021 02:59:55 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Val Scott - 2021-LTP-SUB-473

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Val Scott - 2021-LTP-SUB-473

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-473

Your details:

Name:	Val Scott
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	2b Mason Street Onerahi
Best phone number:	021996373
Email:	val.elspbeth.scott@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	There are big changes coming which require long term planning and the funding to to meet those changes.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	The Oruku Landing Conference Centre should be established and retained in Council Council control but not at the expense of other facilities. I am not convinced that we need a conference venue here, especially when travel and tourism may be very restricted for some time, but IF Government money is available for this and no other asset, then go ahead.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	There is a climate crisis and all Councils must invest the maximum in response. Even \$7.4 million is not sufficient, but better than nothing. Council could take a more active lead by only contacting goods and services from organisations which are not dependent on

	fossil fuels and incentivise green options and sponsor green
	employment opportunities.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 3: No additional funding for the city centre.
Why?	I don't think prettying up James, John et al will bring back people to the city, so it would be a waste of money. I think businesses need to fund thier own development to make the city more attractive, then they might maintain them better. The major issue is to capitalize on the huge funds spent on the Basin area we have to better connect the existing city centre with the Town Basin. Simple things like more (and safer) pedestrian crossings (a bridge?) between the city and the Basin; better connection between the long distance buses and the City buses - moving the Bus station to closer and safer position to the long distance buses. For example the buses from Onerahi (and the airport) do not stop at the Basin or at PaknSave- the nearest stops are Rose Rd and Kingsgate, which is really inconvenient for both tourists and locals. I will also be promoting this with NDC.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

I think the Council has to take a much more active role in the provision of housing especially now the Government will make more funds available for building infrastructure. WDC should work actively with Kainga Ora to build community housing. It was a travesty that Council pensioner housing was demolished for hotel development and should never happen again.

Community Funding

Council should actively assist building housing, both short and long term for the local homeless

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 03:35:12 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jill Secker - 2021-LTP-SUB-483

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jill Secker - 2021-LTP-SUB-483

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-483

Your details:

Name:	Jill Secker
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	250 Beach Road, Onerahi
Best phone number:	0273885700
Email:	secker.neil.jill@xtra.co.nz

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
17)	
Why?	I believe it is in our best interests to keep ahead of the rates increases, as long as there is a contingency in place in case of another economic blow like Covid 19

Key issue - Spaces for	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing
gathering (see page 24)	Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
Why?	existing facilities at Forum North).

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St.
32)	
Why?	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points

raised in the Consultation document:

As an Onerahi resident, I believe that consideration of relocating the airport, along with addressing the access road into Onerahi is relevant to the community. Riverside and Onerahi roads create a bottleneck for the Heads community traffic, impacting on road safety, travel times, productivity and ease of access to the Onerahi shopping centre. Futureproofing this route from traffic stops due to accidents, as well as anticipating even greater growth in the Heads community if important to keep ahead of these issues. At the same time consideration of the impact of traffic pollution and public transport is important. The amount of traffic currently using these roads, often with only one occupant in the car is not efficient. If a ferry dock is being considered at Oruku Landing might that include a passenger service from Whangarei Heads? From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 02:13:31 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Frances Semenoff - 2021-LTP-SUB-463

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Frances Semenoff - 2021-LTP-SUB-463

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-463

Your details:

Name:	Frances Semenoff
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	31 Memorial Drive Parihaka
Best phone number:	0211629464
Email:	f.semenoff31@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in
pay for what we need -	years one to three
Rates Options (see page	
17)	
Why?	

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	
Why?	No selection made Oruku Landing Conference - right beside the river, with climate change and maybe the threat of future tsunamis - why? Theatre - Whangarei Boys' High School is currently building a 1300 seat auditorium which would accommodate the needs of the community - why double up?

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St as well as either Robert St or Cameron Street.
32)	

Why?	Improve the street system, this was highlighted during the
	recent tsunami alert when the city was gridlocked because of
	our inadequate street system. We would have been washed
	away whilst waiting in traffic queues.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Parking is an issue in the CBD, a new park is being constructed at the town basin, but where do you park so you can visit this park?

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	30 Mar 2021 21:41:51 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Doug Shearer - 2021-LTP-SUB-315

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Doug Shearer - 2021-LTP-SUB-315

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-315

Your details:

Name:	Doug Shearer
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	
Best phone number:	021351992
Email:	sales@unitedsecurityservices.nz

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard N	No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in
pay for what we need -	years one to three
Rates Options (see page	
17)	
Why?	

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua
	Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North.
Why?	Whangarei would benefit on having the Oruku Landing Conference and Event Centre allowing Whnagarei to help secure events

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St as well as either Robert St or Cameron Street.
32)	
Why?	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points

raised in the Consultation document:

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	29 Mar 2021 02:09:55 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Rory Sherman - 2021-LTP-SUB-258

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Rory Sherman - 2021-LTP-SUB-258

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-258

Your details:

Name:	Rory Sherman
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	30 Penetaka Heights
Best phone number:	0225717239
Email:	waterfrontstudios@hotmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%	
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates	
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.	
17)		
Why?		

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current Forum North site.
Why?	Anew venue would open up more possibilities for me as a Producer to tour shows and reach new audiences.

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St as well as either Robert St or Cameron Street.
32)	
Why?	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Right now theatre in NZ needs a boost. A new venue can open up more possibilities and

improve chances of making profit making it more likely to tour independent shows from NZ.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	29 Mar 2021 01:05:05 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jonathan Slavich - 2021-LTP-SUB-256

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jonathan Slavich - 2021-LTP-SUB-256

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-256

Your details:

Name:	Jonathan Slavich
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	64 Hatea Drive Regent
Best phone number:	0272357506
Email:	

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in
pay for what we need -	years one to three
Rates Options (see page	
17)	
Why?	

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	I really support Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre and the Hihiaua Cultural Centre. Im less certain of the long term plans and investment for Forum North.
	The Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, combined with a 4 star hotel is something that Whangarei desperately needs. Our town and region continues to grow and prosper, and in order to support that we need more infrastructure and services to meet the expectations of our community - at this development will provide that in a critical service, attraction, and center piece to the town - it is an amazing opportunity and brilliant synergy with The Loop, Town Basin, Hundertwasser, and I am excited at the atmosphere and attention it will bring to the town that will benefit current and future generations.
	It seems completely odd that we have no fit for purpose

Conference and Events Centre in the north. The options with the stadium or forum north meet different community needs. If we dont have these kinds of facilities, the North will continue to miss out.

It also astounds me that Whangarei has no higher quality hotel / motel accommodation in the CBD. Every month through work we have people from around NZ come to Whangarei for business, and they often check with me - "Is the Distinction or Quest the best place I should stay?" (no offence to those two operators). I feel embarrassed with my response, and embarrassed that that is the impression they are left with of our town. Audit NZ just last week asked me this question, as they are on site for two weeks conducting their Audit.

As my friend David Wilson once said when he was at the head of Northland Inc - creating jobs in our region is critical to driving economic prosperity for this region. This project is going do create a significant and material amount of jobs, that will benefit our whanau, our community, not just during construction, but also in the long term. Further to that, I am in education and training, if we can assist in providing skills and training it will staircase our young or unemployed into opportunities for themselves and their families - "education is the most powerful weapon you can use to change the world" as Nelson Mandela once said.

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.

(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St.
32)	
Why?	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

I really support Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre and the Hihiaua Cultural Centre. Im less certain of the long term plans and investment for Forum North.

The Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, combined with a 4 star hotel is something that Whangarei desperately needs. Our town and region continues to grow and prosper, and in order to support that we need more infrastructure and services to meet the expectations of our community - at this development will provide that in a critical service, attraction, and center piece to the town - it is an amazing opportunity and brilliant synergy with The Loop, Town Basin, Hundertwasser, and I am excited at the atmosphere and attention it will bring to the town that will benefit current and future generations.

It seems completely odd that we have no fit for purpose Conference and Events Centre in the north. The options with the stadium or forum north meet different community needs. If we dont have these kinds of facilities, the North will continue to miss out.

It also astounds me that Whangarei has no higher quality hotel / motel accommodation in the CBD. Every month through work we have people from around NZ come to Whangarei for business, and they often check with me - "Is the Distinction or Quest the best place I should stay?" (no offence to those two operators). I feel embarrassed with my response, and embarrassed that that is the impression they are left with of our town. Audit NZ just last

week asked me this question, as they are on site for two weeks conducting their Audit.

As my friend David Wilson once said when he was at the head of Northland Inc - creating jobs in our region is critical to driving economic prosperity for this region. This project is going do create a significant and material amount of jobs, that will benefit our whanau, our community, not just during construction, but long term. Further to that, I am in education and training, we we can asset in providing skills and training it will staircase our young or unemployed into opportunities for themselves and their families - "education is the most powerful weapon you can use to change the world" as Nelson Mandela once said.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	29 Mar 2021 03:37:46 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Peter Smart - 2021-LTP-SUB-261

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Peter Smart - 2021-LTP-SUB-261

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-261

Your details:

Name:	Peter Smart
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	15A Mercer St, Kensington, Whangarei 0112
Best phone number:	4371752
Email:	pj-spsmart@outlook.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
-------------------------	----

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in years one to three
Why?	Council must Live within it's means and can not continue to fleece the rate payer to finance grandiose projects dreamed up by staff and councilors. It is about time the council stopped wasting rate payers money, on such schemes as rate payers have only limited funds. It is NOT all right for staff and councilors continue to spend funds on needless projects. The council should take a good look at itself and reduce staff numbers and managers and this will provide a great deal of savings.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current Forum North site.
Why?	The location of the Oruku Landing is not a good site for a conference and Events Centre as this will create traffic bottle necks and have limited parking. The location is Not ideal for such a centre. This should be built on the old RSA site. At the same time staff numbers could be reduced thus eliminating the need to build a new office block and thus save money.

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 3: No new funding towards climate change or waste
change and sustainability	minimisation.

(see page 28)	
Why?	There is no need for such funding. This is up to Central Govt not for local council

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 3: No additional funding for the city centre.
Why?	Again there is no need for such a plan as the central city is devoid of people so why waste funds on such an idea. The plan does not provide for much or any inner-city living such as apartment blocks which would provide the population to justify such upgrading. At this point in time there is a shortage of parking and by doing the suggested improvements to John & James streets a number of parking spaces will be lost. What is going to happen to the shop owners located in these streetsare they going to go out of business? This idea could be revisited in the next 10 year plan when things are more prosperous and more people living in the CBD.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

We should do away with the ward system to elect councilors. All rate payers should have a say in who makes up council. The number of councilors should be reduced and council do away with Maori wards as these would no longer be of any use thus reducing the cost of council. The building of the Civic Centre should stop and be replaced by the conference centre. Why is the council going to purchase land for another sports centre when Whangarei has enough parks for sport.

The Water supply which the council owns should remain in council ownership and not centralised.

By reducing expenture the need to borrow will be reduced thus helping us poor rate payers.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	31 Mar 2021 04:47:04 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Roimata Smith-Iri - 2021-LTP-SUB-350

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Roimata Smith-Iri - 2021-LTP-SUB-350

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-350

Your details:

Name:	Roimata Smith-Iri
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	19 Capstone Place Kamo
Best phone number:	
Email:	roimatasmithiri@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No		

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we
pay for what we need -
Rates Options (see page
17)
Why?

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	We need a variety of spaces available for our growing population and the increased amount of events that come with the growth.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	As a town we should take responsibility at a council level for the effects of Climate change. The more support and systems that are put in place by the council, the easier it will be for individuals to instigate change and break old habits. Having local businesses incorporate new systems would also create new behavioural norms in a day-to-day space.

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 3: No additional funding for the city centre.
our city centre (see page	

32)	
Why?	I can only speak from a consumers point of view and not a
	business owner. As a busy working mum who is time poor
	finding a parking spot in the city centre has been a big
	challenge for me. I will do anything I can to avoid shopping or
	eating in the area. I have money to spend and I would rather
	travel and additional 5 mins to Okara park or shop online rather
	than go into the city centre.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	29 Mar 2021 02:20:39 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Janeen Soderling - 2021-LTP-SUB-260

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Janeen Soderling - 2021-LTP-SUB-260

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-260

Your details:

Name:	Janeen Soderling
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	1146 Cove Road RD2 Langs Beach Waipu 0582
Best phone number:	0210343557
Email:	pounamulady1949@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No	
at the hearing?		

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need -	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates
Rates Options (see page 17)	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	Any limitation on the rates increase is likely to prejudice the outcome for my chosen options for the LTP.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current Forum North site.
Why?	Given that the need for a large theatre in Whangarei was recognized 45 years ago, in 1976, and its population is now significantly larger, with growth in and around the district bound to continue, it must be considered of the highest importance that the building of such a theatre be put in place at the earliest opportunity. Whangarei desperately needs a theatre suitable for the highest level of the various artforms, whether that be music, dance or theatre. It is indeed shameful that two generations of young people in our district have not had access to such a venue, therefore denying them exposure to the finest of our national and international artists and musicians. The Arts have clearly been neglected over the last forty years, and it is imperative that the issue be addressed, particularly when the longterm benefit to the community as a whole is acknowledged.

Some would argue that a suitably sized conference centre
could serve the purpose of a theatre. Absolutely not! While not
denying the need for a conference centre in Whangarei, a
conference centre is not a theatre. There is a need for both -
they are indeed complementary to each other.
The financing of a theatre, in my opinion, should be undertaken
by the Council, and this should be prioritized, so that
construction can commence as soon as Forum North is
vacated by the Council. There should be no further delay.
Respectfully yours,
Janeen Soderling.

Key issue - Climate	
change and sustainability	
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising
our city centre (see page
32)
Why?

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:



Submission by the Royal New Zealand Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Inc.

on Whangārei District Council's Long Term Plan 2021-2031

1 April 2021

Page 61 of 135



Contents

Introduction	3
Submission	3
Background	4
Conclusion	6
References	7



Introduction

The following submission is made on behalf of The Royal New Zealand Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (trading as SPCA).

SPCA is the preeminent animal welfare and advocacy organisation in New Zealand. The Society has been in existence for over 140 years with a supporter base representing many tens of thousands of New Zealanders across the nation.

The organisation includes 35 Animal Welfare Centres across New Zealand and approximately 60 inspectors appointed under the Animal Welfare Act 1999.

SPCA welcomes the opportunity to make a submission on the Whangārei District Council's Long Term Plan 2021-2031.

Submission

SPCA supports the proposal in the Long Term Plan to continue investment in community funding.

SPCA submits that a portion of this funding is allocated to supporting responsible pet ownership through a financial contribution to the cost of desexing and microchipping cats and dogs.

SPCA would welcome the opportunity to develop a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Whangārei District Council (WDC) for a 10-year funding contribution to our national desexing programme (Snip 'n' Chip). This programme provides readily-accessible low-cost desexing, microchipping (and microchip registration) for owned companion cats and dogs.

SPCA submission on Whangarei District Council's Long Term Plan 2021-2031 – 1 April 2021

Page 63 of 135



Background

The owned companion animal population of New Zealand is estimated to include 1.2 million companion cats and 851,000 dogs¹. Desexing is a key component of responsible ownership yet, 146,000 cats and 221,000 dogs are not desexed¹. The main barriers to desexing of owned companion cats are cost (27%), feeling it is unnecessary (23%), and not considering it a priority (21%)¹. For dogs the three main barriers are feeling it is unnecessary (27%), wanting to breed the animal (26%), and cost (20%)¹. These barriers have remained largely unchanged over the previous decade^{2,3}.

The excessive (planned and indiscriminate) breeding of companion cats and dogs is an important contributor to New Zealand's widespread stray and unwanted animal population Stray cats are considered a pest under the Northland Regional Pest Management Plan. Their inclusion as a pest in this region signals increased pressure to reduce stray cat populations, indicating a need for increased desexing of companion cats.

On average over 26,000 unwanted cats (72% of all incoming animals) and 4,500 dogs (15% of all incoming animals) end up in SPCA Centres per year. SPCA has an important role in providing a preventative, 'top of the cliff' approach to addressing the unwanted and stray animal population of New Zealand. Snip 'n' Chip provides a humane and effective way to reduce numbers of unwanted cats and dogs by assisting owners to overcome one of the main barriers to desexing (cost) through the provision of subsidised desexing.

Increased rates of desexing of companion animals can reduce the flow or contribution of animals from the owned populations to the stray and unwanted population. This is an important aspect of humanely reducing the number of unwanted animals.

SPCA envisions a future where all animal lives matter in our communities and advocates that all cats and dogs are desexed, microchipped, and contained. Desexing of cats and dogs can

Page 4 of 9

SPCA submission on Whangarei District Council's Long Term Plan 2021-2031 – 1 April 2021

Page 64 of 135



ensure improved outcomes for the individual animal, and for our shared urban, rural, and wild spaces. The tables below list the many benefits of desexing cats and dogs in New Zealand:

Ensures Improved Animal Welfare Outcomes

Reduces relinquishment to shelters and subsequent euthanasia⁴⁻¹⁰

Decreases reproductive disease, including cancers, infections, and tumours^{5, 11}

Increases lifespan for both cats and dogs¹²

Improves animal behaviour: reduced hyperactivity, increased affectionate behaviour, reduced aggression (fighting), and reduced sexually motivated frustration^{11, 13-16}

Reduces problematic sexually motivated behaviours: roaming (risk of hit by car), urine marking, humping, and vocalising^{11, 16-18}

Facilitates access to doggy daycare, kennel, and cattery services¹⁸

Ensures Improved Community Outcomes

Improves population control; there are fewer unwanted cats and dogs in the community¹⁹⁻²¹ Reduces nuisance behaviours: urine marking, fighting, roaming, fouling, and vocalisations can be detrimental to the relationship between animals and people within community settings^{11, ¹⁻¹⁸}

Increases compliance with local-government registration (reduced fees for desexed dogs)¹⁹ Reduces risk of disease transmission to people and other animals (e.g. ringworm, FIV)^{22,23} Prevents dog bites; intact dogs are associated with increased risk of dog bites²⁴

Ensures Improved Agricultural Outcomes

May reduce nuisance behaviours associated with roaming (e.g. worrying stock), which can be costly and detrimental to animal welfare and the relationship between animals and people within community settings²⁵

Reduces risk of toxoplasmosis transmission to farmed animals^{26,27}

Ensures Improved Biodiversity Outcomes

Decreases predation pressure on native wildlife^{19, 28-33}

Decreases risk of toxoplasmosis transmission to native wildlife²⁷

In December 2020, SPCA launched, in Northland, its largest stand-alone Snip 'n' Chip campaign to date. The interest and uptake of this campaign has been phenomenal and we are on target

Page 5 of 9



to desex 4000 cats by the end of June 2021. This will greatly impact individual cats and their families. In order to continue to make a sustained impact on reducing the number of unwanted animals in the Northland community this effort must to be ongoing and expand to include dogs.

Conclusion

SPCA is committed to reducing the number of unwanted animals in Northland through Snip 'n' Chip campaigns.

Our organisation would welcome the opportunity to discuss the development of a MOU with WDC outlining an annual financial contribution to the Northland Snip 'n' Chip campaign over the following 10 years (2021-2031).

If invited, SPCA would welcomes the opportunity to speak on this topic at the Council hearing scheduled for the 13th and 14th of April 2021.



References

- 1. Companion Animals New Zealand Inc. (2020). *Companion Animals in New Zealand* 2020. Auckland, New Zealand. Available from: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5d1bf13a3f8e880001289eeb/t/5f768e8a173 77653bd1eebef/1601605338749/Companion+Animals+in+NZ+2020+%281%29.pdf
- 2. New Zealand Companion Animal Council. (2016). *Companion Animals in New Zealand* 2016. Auckland, New Zealand. Available from: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5d1bf13a3f8e880001289eeb/t/5f556c917d0 bb54905a22858/1599433901911/Companion+Animals+in+New+Zealand+2016+Rep ort_web.pdf
- 3. New Zealand Companion Animal Council. (2011). *Companion Animals in New Zealand* 2011. Auckland, New Zealand. Available from: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5d1bf13a3f8e880001289eeb/t/5f556cde669 9dc5f7fd5f8f8/1599433968483/nzcac-canz2011.pdf
- 4. New, J. C., Salman, M. D., King, M., Scarlett, J. M., Kass, P. H., & Hutchison, J. M. (2000). Characteristics of shelter-relinquished animals and their owners compared with animals and their owners in U.S. pet-owning households. *Journal of Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 3, 179-201.
- 5. Root Kustritz, M. V. (2007). Determining the optimal age for gonadectomy of dogs and cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 231(11), 1665-1675.
- 6. Scarlett, J. M., Salman, M. D., New, J. G. J., & Kass, P.H. (1999). Reasons for relinquishment of companion animal in US shelters: selected health and personal issues. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science*, 2, 41-57.
- 7. Marston, L. C., & Bennett, P. C. (2009). Admissions of cats to animal welfare shelters in Melbourne, Australia. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science*, 12, 189-213.
- Marsh, P. (2010). Replacing myth with math: Using evidence-based programs to eradicate shelter overpopulation. Available from: www.shelteroverpopulation.org/Books/Replacing Myth_with_Math.pdf. Accessed 2nd June 2016.
- 9. Toukhsati, S. R., Bennett, P. C., & Coleman, G. J. (2007). Behaviors and attitudes towards semi-owned cats. *Anthrozoös*, 20, 131-142.
- 10. Zito, S. (2015). *Understanding human factors involved in the unwanted cat problem*. Thesis: Doctor of Philosophy, University of Queensland.
- 11. Reichler, IM. Gonadectomy in Cats and Dogs: A Review of Risks and Benefits. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*. 2009, *44*, 29-35.
- 12. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association News. (2013). *Banfield: Spaying, neutering correlate with longer lives*. Retrieved from <u>https://www.avma.org/News/JAVMANews/Pages/130701p.aspx</u>



- 13. Spain, CV.; Scarlett, JM.; Houpt, KA. Long-term risks and benefits of early-age gonadectomy in cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 2004, *224*, 337-379.
- 14. Stubbs, WP.; Bloomberg, MS. Implications of early neutering in the dog and cat. *Seminars in Veterinary Medicine & Surgery, Small Animal*. 1995, *10(1)*, 8-12.
- 15. Stubbs, WP.; Bloomberg, MS.; Scruggs, SL.; Shille, VM.; Lane, TJ. Effects of prepubertal gonadectomy on physical and behavioural development in cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*. 1996, *209(11)*, 1864-1871.
- 16. The New Zealand Veterinary Association. Pre-puerbertal desexing of dogs and cats. 2015. Retrieved from http://www.nzva.org.nz/?page=policydesexing
- 17. Hart B, L., Barrett, R. E. (1972). Effects of castration on fighting, roaming, and urine spraying in adult male cats. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 163, 290–2.
- 18. Gunther, I., Raz, T., Berke, O., & Klement, E. (2015). Nuisances and welfare of freeroaming cats in urban settings and their association with cat reproduction. Preventive Veterinary Medicine, 119, 203-210.
- 19. Farnworth, M. J., Muellner, P., & Benschop, J. (2013b). A systematic review of the impacts of feral, stray and companion domestic cats (Felis catus) on wildlife in New Zealand and options for their management. New Zealand Veterinary Association, Wellington, New Zealand.
- 20. NZVA. (2019). Desexing of dogs and cats. Retrieved 15/05/2020 from: https://www.nzva.org.nz/page/policydesexing?
- 21. Joyce, A., & Yates, D. (2011). Help stop teenage pregnancy. *Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery*, 13, 3-10.
- Hosie, M. J., Addie, D., Belák, S., Boucraut-Baralon, C., Egberink, H., Frymus, T.... Lloret, A. (2009). Feline immunodeficiency: ABCD guidelines on prevention and management. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery, 11, 7, 575-584.
- 23. Stull, J. W., Brophy, J., & Weese, J. S. (2015). Reducing the risk of pet-associated zoonotic infections. *CMAJ*, 187, 10, 736-743.
- 24. D'Onise, K., Hazel, S., Caraguel, C., (2017) Mandatory desexing of dogs: one step in the right direction to reduce the risk of dog bite? A systematic review. *Injury Prevention*, 23, 212-218.
- 25. Urfer, S. R., &, Kaeberlein, M. (2019). Desexing dogs: A review of the current literature. *Animals*, 9, 12, 1086.
- Stelzer, S., Basso, W., Benavides Silván, J., Ortega-Mora, L. M., Maksimov, P., Gethmann, J.... Schares, G. (2019). Toxoplasma gondii infection and toxoplasmosis in farm animals: Risk factors and economic impact. Food and Waterborne *Parasitology*, 12, e0037.
- Aguirre, A. A., Longcore, T., Barbieri, M., Dabritz, H., Hill, D., Klein, P. N., ... Sizemore, G. C. (2019). The one health approach to toxoplasmosis: Epidemiology, control, and prevention strategies. *EcoHealth*, 16, 387-390



- 28. Robley, A., Reddiex., B., Arthur, T. Pech, R., & Forsyth, D. (2004). Interactions between feral cats, foxes, native carnivores, and rabbits in Australia. Department of Sustainability and Environment, Victorian Government, Victoria, Australia.
- 29. Bellingham, P. J., Towns, D. R., Cameron, E. K., Davis, J. J., Wardle, D. A., Wilmshurst, J. M., & Mulder, C. P. H. (2010). New Zealand island restoration: Seabirds, predators, and the importance of history. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology*, 34, 115-136.
- 30. Veitch, C. R., Gaskin, C., Baird, K., & Ismar, S. M. H. (2011). *Changes in bird numbers on Raoul Island, Kermadec Islands, New Zealand, following the eradication of goats, rats, and cats.* In: Island Invasives: Eradication and Management. Proceedings of the International Conference on Island Invasives, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, pp. 372-376.
- 31. Bell, M., & Bell, D. (2003). The recolonisation of Mangere Island by New Zealand white-faced storm petrels (Pelagodroma marina maoriana). *Notornis*, 50, 57-58.
- 32. Dowding, J. E., & Murphy, E. C. (2001). The impact of predation by introduced mammals on endemic shorebirds in New Zealand: a conservation perspective. *Biological Conservation*, 98, 47-64.
- 33. Imber, M. J., West, J. A., & Cooper, W. J. (2003). Cook's petrel (*Pterodroma cookii*): Historic distribution, breeding ecology and effects of predators. *Notornis*, 50, 221-230.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 00:51:52 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Carol Sumner - 2021-LTP-SUB-445

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Carol Sumner - 2021-LTP-SUB-445

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-445

Your details:

Name:	Carol Sumner
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	1675 State Highway 1, RD2, Hikurangi, Northland
Best phone number:	0211152272
Email:	bubblesthemighty@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be board	No
Do you wish to be heard	INU

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in years one to three
Why?	Set an example for the people you lead. Live within your means and stop spending more than you have. Costs are increasing faster than pay and certainly faster than savings can cover, it is becoming harder and harder to get by - resulting in more depression, disconnection, illness and discontent. If we can reduce the financial burden and slow down progress and projects, we can live within our budgets and enjoy life more thoroughly, instead of just barely surviving.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North.
Why?	As per my previous answer - live within our means and stop borrowing so much. Becoming indebted is not going to be beneficial long term - there will be a time when the payment must be made and the bigger the debt is, the less easy it will be! Debt creates more debt and more stress and higher rates to pay it back along with the interest! Hihiaua Cultural Centre is already underway and will create a wonderful inclusive and diverse space, we don't need 3 or 4 spaces of similar purpose in progress all at once, the cost is too much for one time period (as shown by the necessity for a rates

increase). Pick one, Oruku sounds good as the govt is putting some funding towards it, but it is good practise to finish a job before you start the next one! I would understand work on Oruku or Hihiaua, I couldn't find enough information about the Forum North "Improvements" to make a decision on that one is it necessary? Could it be used as something else that will cost less to convert until it is decided what would be it's best function? Option 3 seemed very expensive, funded almost entirely of debt - and I'm not sure that it is entirely necessary? How many theatres do we need? Will Oruku or Hihiaua not fill the need? Taxpayer money is thrown around (by council and government) far too wastefully and the cost-effectiveness is often lacking. It would be wonderful to have some kind of process when a project is put forward, to discover the following: What is it contributing to the community? Is it contributing something that already exists or could easily exist in a different space with less cost? Could it be included in some other way or space to use space more effectively/efficiently? Is it contributing to the environment? Is it carbon neutral or carbon positive? How much use is it expected to get? Are there other similar or symbiotic/mutualistic projects that could be included in this to tick off more "needs"?

Key issue -	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change
Climate change	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
and sustainability	
(see page 28)	
Why?	Climate change mitigation and waste minimisation need to be top priority. Looking at different, cost-effective, natural ways of treating effluent (in

Asia and Europe they used to use fish ponds that turned the effluent back into a useful resource with fantastic by-products - fish, sludge which was rich in nutrients for the gardens, and water that was cleaner than WHO standards!)

Eliminating any organics from going to landfill - councils must either have Council compost sites or have sub-contractors that manage composting sites - or farms that can receive food waste! I am sure something could be worked out easily, and this would reduce significant emissions coming from landfill. If we can collect rubbish regularly, I can't see why we can't collect food waste just as regularly or more frequently - I am sure there would be many passionate volunteers/farmers/composters or suchlike willing to clear out organic receptacles regularly.

I believe the best way to sequester carbon is to obtain swampland/wetland (or previously drained where possible!) and revitalize to the best of it's potential. Failing that - at least support/sponsor those who own such spaces to revitalize to the best of it's potential. Wetland is hugely beneficial for sequestering carbon (significantly more so than rain-forest). Restoring wetland may also assist with droughts by allowing aquifers to refill. See Forest and Bird for more information about the sequestering of Carbon by Wetlands.

Here is a link about the fish ponds and the details about wetlands assisting with refilling aquifers:

https://solar.lowtechmagazine.com/2021/03/urban-fish-ponds-low-techsewage-treatment-for-towns-and-

cities.html?fbclid=lwAR3dYQOIW2LTCB9KLruJ563M6UVSnfdkmUQCj Y8YsZkxpjhYwrpPfuaZx6Q

Here is the snippet about aquifers:

Still, when introduced into small rural communities, the benefits extend far beyond monetary profit, to the social, cultural, and ecological services provided by the fish ponds. This includes improving soil quality, adaptability of local communities to climate change, leisure (e.g. fishing with friends), and steady sources of protein for small-holder farmers. For example, even if they don't sell the fish, a small sewage-fed fish pond can provide a family of six with 8kg of fish, per person, per year a significant raise in protein intake for many rural communities. 12 In the case of the Eastern Kolkata Wetlands, the fish ponds also help to recharge the ground water—a serious issue in India where many aquifers are nearing depletion. 13

Kolkata's wetlands are a "low-cost folk technology" 14 treating the majority of the sewage of a city with a population the size of New York. This is made possible through the development of a vast human-fish-plant ecosystem, a city-scale wastewater treatment plant that emerged through the creativity, ecological knowledge, and direction of local farming communities.

The Kolkata fish farms provide 40% of the region's fish production and process 80% of the city's sewage.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 3: No additional funding for the city centre.
Why?	Given the situation many face with lack of work/redundancies through Covid, low support from WINZ (the benefit does not cover living costs adequately). We need to look at cutting unnecessary (non-essential) spending. We have a wonderful space in Whangarei, plenty of pedestrian friendly areas, footpaths connecting many spaces, the revitalised playground is fantastic. If we could find small, low-cost, effective/efficient projects to complete to keep contractors employed, but keep the taxpayer money (or debt money!) spending minimal while

still making slow, steady improvements - I think this would ease	
the burden on many, and still be progress - just more	
appropriate progress for our current situation.	

I have some concerns about the "new full trust" governing the Northland Events Centre. I am not particularly familiar with the way things run in events centres, but taking council funding, while also receiving profit made from events, seems to be cheeky? Where does the profits from events held at this centre go? Does it all go back to council? I'm unsure why the new full trust needs to receive so much more on top of existing funding - surely being active and promoting events here will create enough revenue to cover costs? Could the costs of running be investigated and "green" solutions discovered that are less wasteful of resources/power/labour etc?

With Climate Change in mind I would recommend the new full trust set some rules about what resources can be used in the event centre? (for example no single use plastic and single use decorations etc)

Reducing our waste as many ways as possible is hugely important and events can be so very wasteful. Reducing the wasting of taxpayer money would be a fantastic choice - it seems there are so many projects that are done for vanity and desire rather than functionality and benefit to community/environment. Spending taxpayer money seems too easy for govt and council and it would be nice if they considered how cost-effective the spending was for each project.

Looking for ways to reduce, reuse, recycle, repair, streamline, and share would be very beneficial to keep in mind.

Support wetland recovery and revitalizing to mitigate climate change issues. Maybe rates rebates for those who are actively sequestering carbon on their property (with clear evidence before and after, and regular checks?), or some kind of incentive to plant more

trees, and create more wetlands.

Also supporting multiple dwellings/cohousing communities/tiny home communities both near towns and rurally - looking for ways to make these more affordable for people to increase housing availability/options, affordability, connection, well-being would be wonderful. I was pleased to see Ahi Wai go ahead though the cost does not make it easy for those with less financial backing.... housing needs to be more available and more affordable - so encouraging folks to build tiny homes, or clusters of tiny homes by reducing the costs involved with council, would be hugely beneficial (and some ways to make it harder for big businesses to get in on this would be good, to ensure the policy arrives to the people for the people intended instead of as a profit-collecting operation!)

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	30 Mar 2021 20:27:26 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Juanita Hool - 2021-LTP-SUB-185

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Juanita Hool - 2021-LTP-SUB-185

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-185

Your details:

Name:	Juanita Hool
I am making this submission as:	On behalf of an organisation
Organisation name:	Takahiwai Rugby League Club
Postal address:	PO Box 169 RUAKAKA
Best phone number:	0211355321
Email:	Juanita.hool@justice.govt.nz

Do you wish to be heard	Yes
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	
pay for what we need -	
Rates Options (see page	
17)	
Why?	Unable to respond on behalf of the organisation

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	
Why?	Unable to respond on behalf of the organisation

Key issue - Climate	
change and sustainability	
(see page 28)	
Why?	Unable to respond on behalf of the organisation

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page	
32) Why?	Unable to respond on behalf of the organisation

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Tena koutou

On behalf of the Takahiwai Rugby League Club this submission is to request Councils approval for the provision of "Lights" for the Takahiwai Sports Park located on the corner of McEwan and One Tree Point Roads. We seek the allocation of funds by inclusion within the Long Term Plan or, from within Councils current project funding. We have not previously been provided or assisted with lights by Council.

Who are We? The Takahiwai Rugby League Club utlises the Sports Park for training and holding of games for the Winter Season. We have participated in Rugby League in the District for over 80 years and have fielded both Junior and Senior Teams in local and northwide Rugby League competitions. We have maintained a mixed membership of financial and non-financial members over the years and the code continues to grow every year and provide a sports and wellbeing initiative to the community. We are responsible for bringing Rugby League to the Ruakaka-Takahiwai and outer areas, and our tenure in it's current space was recently renewed in 2013 by way of leasehold.

What will we do? We want to be able to continue to provide and support Rugby League to our local community and will be greated aided to do this with the provision of lights. The Club and grounds are situated in areas that are consistent with the current Sports "Hubs" available at Ruakaka and the surrounding areas; ie, the Ruakaka Recreation Centre for Football, Waipu for Rugby and Takahiwai for Rugby League. If lighting is approved, we will assist Council to consult with our neighbours based on the relationship that has been built of the years.

It is my request to appear at a hearing to be available to answer any questions from Council that this submission might generate.

Ngā mihi Juanita Hool; Secretary Takahiwai Rugby League Club.

Page 80 of 135

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	1 Apr 2021 01:27:17 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Colin Thew - 2021-LTP-SUB-451

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Colin Thew - 2021-LTP-SUB-451

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-451

Your details:

Name:	Colin Thew
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	2313 Whangarei Heads Road, RD4
Best phone number:	
Email:	cpthew@gmail.com

Do you wish to be heard	No	
•		

at the hearing?

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	For the district to progress, revenue must be forthcoming. Placing tight restrictions on rates is likely to restrict growth and improvements to our district, which will have a compounding effect on the future prosperity of the district.

Key issue - Spaces for	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing
gathering (see page 24)	Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
	existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	Climate change and sustainably must have high priority funding. Climate change is the most pressing issue on our district into the future. I do have reservations however on money being spent on coastal mitigation. Managed retreat is a better option.

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St.

32)	
Why?	The connection between the city center and the town basin is poor. Vibrant development along James and John Street can make this connect much better. The Cameron Street area with one way traffic should be closed to general traffic, with only disabled access and parking, plus delivery vehicles, making it more pedestrian friendly

1. Riverside Drive improvements connecting the city with Onerahi needs to happen sooner than later, including 2 laning the Riverside Drive roundabout to ease congestion.

2. Onerahi town centre traffic flows and carparking is in dire need to improvements. The current layout and number of car parks is totally inadequate, and dangerous at peak times when attempting to exit the car parks. In reality, a larger supermarket is needed, and on a different site, with adequate car parking (but where??).

3. Whangarei Heads Road traffic improvements are continually ignored by Council, but this long term plan must incorporate real progress on this. Where are the traffic courtesy signs which council should have installed a decade ago or longer? Where are the pull over bays? Council has to cease ignoring the residence of Whangarei Heads, who are continuously frustrated, not only by the daily delays on this road, but by Council burying its head in the sand. Whangarei Heads has a rapidly growing population, and traffic volumes grow every year, but no commitment or action has been taken to make this major route (including for tourist) safer and more efficient. I will also add, why isn't our local Councillor hammering this lack of progress at Council with a determination to achieve results? Please, enough sitting on one's hands and head burying!

4. Park and Ride facility? On recent visits to Whangarei Hospital for appointments, I have encountered extreme difficulties in obtaining a car park (I always drive direct to the Hospital

Street entrance). How many people drive away unable to find somewhere to park, and end up late for appointments? Or how many elderly or disabled people cannot get a park close to the hospital entrance? This had me thinking, what about a Park and Ride setup? I believe this is an important issue Council should work on with the Hospital Board. Is there a present car park not to distance from the hospital, where frequent and free bus/minibus transport could be provided to and from the hospital main entrance, during peak hospital car park demand?

5. I would like to see Council contribute more to enable freedom camping in self-contained vehicles. The recent regulations passed by Council to severely limit freedom camping was a major concern to myself. Council should be proactive in encouraging freedom campers to our district, not banning them, as the bylaw virtually does. I myself will soon be living in a mobile home permanently, forced out of the rental market by landlord greed, making rent unsustainable, when trying to survive on a fixed low income. I know there are a vast number of New Zealanders in the same boat. Provided self containment is certified, I hope Council will make our district much more welcoming. Stop giving into the bias of the wealthy who do not want "those types" in their midst!

Thank you for the opportunity to provide my views. Whangarei is a great place to live, and lets continue to make it even better, and more welcoming :)

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 04:03:54 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Aaron Thompson - 2021-LTP-SUB-493

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Aaron Thompson - 2021-LTP-SUB-493

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-493

Your details:

Name:	Aaron Thompson
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	18 Nixon Street, Kensington
Best phone number:	0210403552
Email:	aaron.thompson.nz@gmail.com

Do you wish to be heard	No

at the hearing?

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in years one to three
Why?	It's less about when to increase rates, and more about how to. Rates increases disproportionately impact on the lower socio- economic groups.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	Infrastructure is better developed. I support forward-thinking planning that makes the best use of space.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	I don't think you could really overspend in this space in the short-term. It's investing for the future.
	However, the details should focus on green-industry, sustainable industry.

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and	
our city centre (see page	John St.	

32)	
Why?	Invest or the space will die.

Control overweight traffic through the inner-town. Large vehicles and trucks should not be traveling through the inner city area and up Hatea Drive.

Planners need to sort traffic flow issues to channel heavy traffic onto the Western Hills Drive bypass.

Also, Council-run community composting and an associated green-waste roadside collection please.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	31 Mar 2021 03:12:30 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jesse Tomason - 2021-LTP-SUB-339

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jesse Tomason - 2021-LTP-SUB-339

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-339

Your details:

Name:	Jesse Tomason
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	Po Box 6080 Otaika 0147
Best phone number:	0210475049
Email:	jess.t@theroofingstore.co.nz

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	I am happy to pay extra to catch up - Whangarei is severely lacking in many facilities and I want to see Whangarei move forward

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	Whangarei has been overlooked previously by Government and the rest of NZ. Government recognised the potential for job creation, apprenticeships, hospitality training and work for many local businesses. The provision of a much needed multipurpose facility for events, conferences, concerts, exhibitions and more to encourage visitors and international quality events to Whangarei, will also provide these opportunities for all Northlanders. The flow on effect will be far reaching. The \$60.0m funding is a one off and will be taken away from Whangarei if The Oruku

Conference and Events Centre is not built. It is clear that the 4
star quality hotel will
be sited next to the Centre and will only come to Whangarei if
this Conference and
Events Centre is constructed.
The site is currently a real eyesore on our otherwise beautiful
Town Basin Loop. It is
the perfect spot for a facility for EVERYONE to use and enjoy.
The rates split over a period of years will also enable the
Hihiaua Cultural Centre to
expand on their great cultural facility which I don't believe will
be compromised but
enhanced by development across the river. An upgrade to
Forum North for the
betterment of our citizens is also covered in this option.
I choose Option 1 to benefit all 3 facilities as already provided
for in the proposed
rates increase.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	As a resident of Pataua South I am greatly affected by both sea water level rises and flooding. Currently I don't believe the district nor government are doing enough to mitigate the effects of climate change on our country. This is a major issue for our district and we need to do far more than currently.

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St.

32)	
Why?	The city centre has benefited from recent improvements however has a long way to go. The centre has been ignored far
	too long and people have been going elsewhere, in particular
	Okara. The centre needs developing and beautifying to bring more life into our city. I do believe however the issue of parking
	needs to be addressed. The town is severely lacking in parking,
	by bringing more people back in we need more parking.

Our city and region needs and deserves to prosper through creation of jobs, training, apprenticeships and the flow on effect that investment in the construction and operation of The Oruku Conference and Events Centre will provide. Whangarei will be able to host a myriad of events, conferences, exhibitions and concerts for all tastes for visitors and our own population that currently by-pass us. The Government has recognised the potential for Whangarei and Northland and

given a grant of \$60.0m, which our community will lose forever if this opportunity isn't taken up. I don't believe we can afford to be seen as a backwater of NZ any longer. The 4 star quality hotel will only be built if there's a conference and event facility to support it. We need quality accommodation to attract investment in Whangarei.

The site on the Hatea River looks awful as it currently is when the rest of the Loop walk is so attractive. A bridge over the river from there to the Hihiaua Peninsula opens up the opportunity for visitors so much more.

Funding for the Hihiaua Cultural Centre and Forum North in a staged approach allows for all projects to benefit.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 00:29:32 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - STEVE TRASS - 2021-LTP-SUB-440

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - STEVE TRASS - 2021-LTP-SUB-440

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-440

Your details:

Name:	STEVE TRASS
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	90 PARAKIORE ROAD NGARARATUNUA, KAMO, WHANGAREI 0176
Best phone number:	0274794296
Email:	stevet@homeworld.co.nz

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need -	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates
Rates Options (see page 17)	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	Rates need to increase and catch up with recent and imminent population increase, inflation and for increased demand on infrastructure.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North.
Why?	This is an unprecedented opportunity to take advantage of the Government funding which has only come about as result of the Covid 19 Pandemic rebuilding program and is very unlikely to be repeated. This opportunity must not be missed as the benefits both short and long term are massive for our district.

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	Take the medium approach.

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and	
our city centre (see page	John St.	

32)	
Why?	Take the medium approach.

Great work.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 00:09:31 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Sarah Trass - 2021-LTP-SUB-434

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Sarah Trass - 2021-LTP-SUB-434

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-434

Your details:

Name:	Sarah Trass
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	8 Whangaumu Street, Tutukaka
Best phone number:	0273685969
Email:	sarah.trass@gmail.com

Do you wish to be heard	No	

at the hearing?

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	Feel in will be better in the long run, as extending it will only put extra pressure on the economy and we have so many exciting things that are in fruition that could disappear.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North.
Why?	Regardless on my engagement in this project, I genuinely feel this is the best option for many reasons. 1 being that Government has offered financial support, which would disappear if not actioned on. 2 being that Oruku Landing is going to benefit a wider demographic within our community - hotel, shops, eateries, and multi-use event centre that can cater to such a variety of needs. 3 being that Oruku Landing will be the third catalyst in making the town basin area a social and cultural hub that supports all the other investments that have already been made towards Hihiaua, the playground and new park, and hundertwasser. Put all your energy and focus into one and once completed move on to the next, versus piece meal approach.

Key issue - Climate OPTION 1: Put \$	3.7m of new funding towards climate change
--------------------------------------	--

change and sustainability (see page 28)	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	Start with this and see what works and what needs to be adjusted. Then grow. Great to see this as a strong initiative :)

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.
Why?	Feel focusing on these two would tie in nicely with the rest of the work you're doing around the town basin area. Again put energy into revitalising one area first - using the other amazing projects that are already bringing vitality to the area, and once completed move on to the next.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 02:24:45 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Josephine Turner - 2021-LTP-SUB-468

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback -Josephine Turner - 2021-LTP-SUB-468

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-468

Your details:

Name:	Josephine Turner
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	10 Grant Road
Best phone number:	0274821660
Email:	jossie.turner@xtra.co.nz

		No	Do you wish to be heard
--	--	----	-------------------------

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in years one to three
Why?	Sealing of Helmsdale Road which has become really impossible to navigate with corrugation and pot holes making it very dangerous feels like a rally course. Just doesn't feel safe

Key issue - Spaces for	
gathering (see page 24)	
Why?	

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 3: No new funding towards climate change or waste
change and sustainability	minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising
our city centre (see page
32)
Why?

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points

raised in the Consultation document:

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	27 Mar 2021 20:25:43 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Kurt Vercoe - 2021-LTP-SUB-230

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Kurt Vercoe - 2021-LTP-SUB-230

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-230

Your details:

Name:	Kurt Vercoe
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	189 McLean Road
Best phone number:	0276220995
Email:	kurtvercoe@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard No

at the hearing?

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 2: Limit the rates increase to LGCI plus 2% only in years one to three
Why?	I feel the rate increase is quite substantial and with the new housing in our area in small sections the revenue will be ample,used wisely our rates should see our area thrive!

Key issue - Spaces for	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing
gathering (see page 24)	Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
	existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	All deservedly recipients

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	The more that is spent on this now the less impact and costs that will be felt in the future.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 3: No additional funding for the city centre.
Why?	The amount of empty shops is a large issue here and needs to be addressed, specific planning to decide on which way to focus

the area.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

I have been living at McLean Road in Waipu for 18 years and have been putting up with its UNSEALED dangerous condition way too long.Please see to it that it is put high in the priority list to seal.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	31 Mar 2021 04:10:03 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Johanna Warren - 2021-LTP-SUB-346

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Johanna Warren - 2021-LTP-SUB-346

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-346

Your details:

Name:	Johanna Warren
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	22a Walshs Rd Ashburton Property owner in Whangarei: 6 Banff St Kensington
Best phone number:	033070200
Email:	jowarren@actrix.co.nz

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	I am very happy to pay what looked in your figures like a reasonable increase as many of the matters in the plan are very important to me. I Do not want to see Council groaning under huge borrowed debt but do want to see on reducing emissions, providing good green spaces and walking and cycling routes in every corner of the district It is also important that infrastructre be continually maintained and imporved as we do not want the discharge of sewage that has occurred in wellington, nor the lead disaster in Dunedin

Key issue - Spaces for	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing
gathering (see page 24)	Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
	existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	because there is a lot to do and we need to get started. I hope

	the allocation can grow if money becomes available, but also know that many people will struggle with rates increases so the money needs to be spread around various projects, and others done well will help contribute to better protecting the environment, i hope.
--	--

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.
Why?	Pedestrian access and safety throughout the city centre is essential.

It is very important that public transport and walking options that are safe and enjoyable are continually improved.

i have family living in Maungatapere and pedestrian access and safety throughout the village needs a a lot of work

Evey new development must include at the very least good pedestrian linkages through and to other areas nearby and some green spaces, even a pocket park with 2 fruit trees and a seat I'd like to see people able to leave their front door and wend their way past a tree and through a short walk way and so on from green space to green space and never have to go on the main road and return home.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	31 Mar 2021 01:35:19 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Craig Watson - 2021-LTP-SUB-332

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Craig Watson - 2021-LTP-SUB-332

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-332

Your details:

Name:	Craig Watson
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	1307 State Highway 14, R.D 9B
Best phone number:	0211644722
Email:	watsonckrl@gmail.com

Do you wish to be heard	No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%	
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates	
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.	
17)		
Why?		

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
Why?	existing facilities at Forum North).

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change	
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.	
(see page 28)		
Whv?		

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St.
32)	
Why?	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Hi, I would like you to consider the establishment of some cycle/shared pathways in the Maungatapere area. A SH14/SH15/ Tatton rd loop for example, to encourage safe traveling for recreation and school children. Thanks

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 00:01:38 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Frances West - 2021-LTP-SUB-427

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Frances West - 2021-LTP-SUB-427

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-427

Your details:

Name:	Frances West
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	10a Brighton Road Kensington Whangarei 0112
Best phone number:	0272400311
Email:	gonewests@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No	
at the hearing?		

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
17)	
Why?	We need to prepare for the increase in population and invest in three water's infrastructure

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	To be honest I'm not really a social person so may not utilise the facilities but these sound like good sources of employment and also improvements to the town which are all good things for improving the well-being of our people.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	Climate change is something best prepared for. Please stop giving consents to build on low lying areas. Also waste minimisation is something we should work towards. I'm thinking the council could switch to hybrid or ev cars and

initiate green waste collection (see note later)
--

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.	
Why?	Town improvements are good	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Please could you consider collecting green waste to divert greenwaste from landfill and being dumped in the gullies around town.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	30 Mar 2021 10:24:20 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Sarah Whittles - 2021-LTP-SUB-304

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Sarah Whittles - 2021-LTP-SUB-304

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-304

Your details:

Name:	Sarah Whittles
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	
Best phone number:	021924343
Email:	sarahandnickw@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	I think this is a well considered option and allows for the growth that Whangarei needs. Covid did not have the same effect to our region as others did and a 'catch up' is wise, so that the shortfall and flow on effect isn't compounding.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current Forum North site.
Why?	To have mana and pride in our performance spaces in Whangarei we absolutely need a new lyric theater. The current theatre options in Whangarei are very lacking and restrictive and when compared to other regions we are missing the mark on all fronts. Top quality touring shows need a proper venue in Whangarei
	so they can reach audiences in Northland and the far north. Currently audiences from these regions need to travel to Auckland for these shows which means that not only is there unnecessary emissions from all those cars driving to Auckland, but the Whangarei hospitality industry and other sectors could be benefiting from these audiences coming to Whangarei instead.

A modern lyric theatre will not only open the door for far more shows and performances to come to Whangarei, but for our own organisations to put on great shows as full sets will be able to be hired and all the technical aspects will be able to be utilized.
If the aim is for local pride in our performance sector, and if we want to send a clear message to our youth that we value their creative ideas and that their creative expression matters, then Whangarei needs a theatre to be proud of
Whangarei needs a theatre to be proud of.

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.	
Why?	Finding ways to connect the city centre to the waterfront, and having other venues for markets is a great idea	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

Thank you for all your mahi on making this document so enjoyable and easy to read. I feel excited for the future of Whangarei

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	31 Mar 2021 00:10:33 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Luke Williams - 2021-LTP-SUB-316

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Luke Williams - 2021-LTP-SUB-316

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-316

Your details:

Name:	Luke Williams
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	22 Beverley Crescent Maungatapere
Best phone number:	
Email:	luke.tamsyn@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
17)	
Why?	

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and
Why?	existing facilities at Forum North).

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	

Key issue - Revitalising	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and
our city centre (see page	John St.
32)	
Why?	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points

raised in the Consultation document:

Funding for community.

Community Led Projects - Maungatapere

Would like to see some traffic calming, village beautification and public spaces in the area.

From:	Whangarei District Council
Sent:	30 Mar 2021 21:28:29 +0000
То:	Mail Room
Subject:	Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Claire Wilson - 2021-LTP-SUB-310

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Claire Wilson - 2021-LTP-SUB-310

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-310

Your details:

Name:	Claire Wilson
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	
Best phone number:	
Email:	

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard No

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	We need to keep the momentum going for Whangarei. We really are on the tipping edge to becoming a small city and we need to be more significant in New Zealand.

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 2: Put budget towards only ONE of the following: Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North.
Why?	Even though I clicked on option 2 - please do record that my selection is actually either option 1 or option 2. I edge slightly to option 2, simply because whatever work needs to be done it needs to be done properly and well. All too often you can tell if a project was meant to be better but corners were cut (usually the stylisation and accessibility) due to limited budget, therefore reducing the overall quality of the project. It leaves a feeling of being short-changed and of "it could have been so much better" or "what a half-assed effort"
	However, I would like to see all sites happen as it we need it in Whangarei to be a meaningful place to live and visit. Whatever site receives funding - I request that all facilities showcase Universal Design to be accessible to everyone

regardless of age, ability, ethnicity or socio-economic status

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 1: Put \$3.7m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	I think that central government should play a role in support local government in this. Also there is huge potential in public- private partnership to tackle waste minimisation.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James and John St as well as either Robert St or Cameron Street.
Why?	This is long overdue. The CBD is dangerous and inaccessible for many people including disabled people, older adults and young children. It is also a very old-fashioned setup and boring with no life, but apart from the Town Basin there is nothing else in Whangarei to go to for a "city feel"

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

More funds allocated towards Whangarei being accessible for everyone, particularity disabled people and older adults. Northland has the second highest proportion of both older adults and disabled people in New Zealand. Every single infrastructure project should have sufficient budget to enable a Universal Design approach to ensure that we have high quality facilities that are accessible for everyone, rather than having to waste money on costly retrofits because it was poorly designed with accessibility and disabled people as an afterthought.

There is future legislation coming our way as per the cabinet paper (please do read) below;

we need to be prepared for it, and that means budget allocation specifically for accessibility improvements (outside infrastructure projects) e.g. civil defence, communications and information, staff training, etc.

https://www.msd.govt.nz/about-msd-and-our-work/publications-resources/information-releases/accelerate-accessibility/cabinet-paper.html

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 03:24:11 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Liadan Winters - 2021-LTP-SUB-481

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Liadan Winters - 2021-LTP-SUB-481

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-481

Your details:

Name:	Liadan Winters
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	165 Peach Orchard Rd RD4 Hikurangi
Best phone number:	
Email:	

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5%
pay for what we need -	Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates
Rates Options (see page	increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
17)	
Why?	

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	
Why?	Alocate funding to climate change mitigation and adaptation instead.

Key issue - Climate	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change
change and sustainability	mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
(see page 28)	
Why?	The funding amount should be far greater.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)		
Why?	This money should be alocateed climate change mitigation and adaptation.	

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points

raised in the Consultation document:

Climate change has the potential to cause the extinction of the human race, it is the biggest issue facing council and it needs urgent action, everything else is secondary.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 01:26:51 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jane Winton - 2021-LTP-SUB-442

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Jane Winton - 2021-LTP-SUB-442

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-442

Your details:

Name:	Jane Winton
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	156 Beach Road Onerahi
Best phone number:	0275360286
Email:	janegredge@gmail.com

Hearing:

Do you wish to be heard	No
at the hearing?	

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	To ensure WDC has the funds to deliver quality long lasting infrastructure for our growing population. We still have low rates compared to other District Councils. So we can effectively tackle climate change and support community groups work to ensure we protect our flora and fauna

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 3: Build a Whangarei District Council-owned theatre on the current Forum North site.
Why?	Do not proceed with Okuru Landing.
	Reinstate Forum North Trust and build an arts centre and theatre. AND develop the Hihiaua Cultural Centre. The cultural centre absolutely.
	Riverside Drive beside the river is narrow, shaded and vulnerable with little space to park. It is the wrong place for a convention centre. We have Semenoff stadium and MacKay Stadium and in addtion should have a community owned Arts and theatre centre. This was fund raised and on track until Stan Semonoff's Council robbed the funds, and referbished the

building for themselves.

Financially it is having rate payers supporting local businessmen to build a hotel and take the only seriousy profitable part. I acknowledge there are a couple of carrots with dollars from central government (tax payers) and Regional COuncil (rate payers). BUt WDC (ratepayers) would be signing up cover all liabilities and over runs. Just 'no' to Okura Landing.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	We owe it to our children and grandchildren. Climate change and sustainability is a priority for our district. WDC did declare a Climate Emergency. Up our sorting and ability to recycle. Use it as an opportunity for
	employment. (NB Southland and other examples where some of our more vulnerable citizens are fully and proudly employed). Protect our waterways and flora and fauna. Stop the blame game between NRC and WDC employees when working with community groups about weeds and predators. Support
	individuals and community groups. WDC get your land in order. Some areas are disgraceful (just one example is on Waverley Street where moth plants draping huge mature trees) and when community individuals or groups approach to offer to support are told constantly that they dont have the funds or staff. But no solutions.
	Bike tracks, walking tracks - keep developing more and maintain the current.

Page 128 of 135

	VEry important is the road from Onerahi to the Heads. Narrow
	vehicle road. It needs a major upgrade to include bikes and
	walkers.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 2: Spend \$21m to make improvements to James and John St as well as either Robert St or Cameron Street.
Why?	I dont know the answer to this. Money is needed to make good decsions about it. Hundertwasser will eventually bring lots of people and the yachts and boats will come back and we will need a healthly infrastructure in town. Our city and the world is changing. Do we have shops in town now? I like going into the City arcade in the evening with live jazz and all kinds of food places. This area of the city is where a Convention Centre and development should be or further out. There is room and

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

The Loop and all its add ons it a fantastic assest to the town. It has got many many people moving and would be great if we could measure the health impact on our community.

Where are the big five - trains - please lobby in whatever way to put the train lines through and to include plans for passengers.

And pineapples. We could export by train pineapples all around NZ by electric train - sustainability.

The road between here and Auckland - lobby and do whatever - it is apalling. Also a priorty

to improve Northlands Roads. The flow on cost in health and life impacts is huge. We have the evidence that our roads are a contributor to our NOrthland death total and people injured. Double anywhere else in NZ.

The big dry dock. We are so caught up in the political landscape where Northland is ignored. This is the only place. Get Wayne Browns report and get it out there without the personality politics. Good luck. Put up my rates and do it. I'll help where I can.

From:Whangarei District CouncilSent:1 Apr 2021 03:06:51 +0000To:Mail RoomSubject:Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Ria Woollams - 2021-LTP-SUB-461

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside Whangarei District Council. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

×

Long Term Plan 2021-2031 Feedback - Ria Woollams - 2021-LTP-SUB-461

Receipt Number: 2021-LTP-SUB-461

Your details:

Name:	Ria Woollams
I am making this submission as:	As an individual
Organisation name:	
Postal address:	316 Clements Rd Matapouri R D 3, Whangarei 0173
Best phone number:	0273891747
Email:	rialnice@yahoo.com

Hearing:

|--|

at the hearing?

Your feedback:

Key issue - How will we pay for what we need - Rates Options (see page 17)	OPTION 1: Rates increase in year one (2021) of 2% + 2.5% Local Government Cost Index (LGCI) + 2% 'catch up'. Rates increase in years two and three (2022-23) of 2% + 2.5% LGCI.
Why?	Prefer that we pay more now and less debt, and there is a lot to spend money on currently

Key issue - Spaces for gathering (see page 24)	OPTION 1: Allocate budget across three sites (Oruku Landing Conference and Events Centre, Hīhīaua Cultural Centre, and existing facilities at Forum North).
Why?	I am not quite clear on the role of all these facilities along with the Northland Events Centre. I am wondering just how many facilities we need to invest in currently. However the Oruku Landiing seems like a good idea given that \$60m has been made available from central government, so I support that option.

Key issue - Climate change and sustainability (see page 28)	OPTION 2: Put \$7.4m of new funding towards climate change mitigation and adaptation and waste minimisation.
Why?	Definitely need more spent on this. I am not sure that the full current situation is even understood, let alone implications for the future. Our road (Clements Rd, Matapouri), is currently intruded upon by the tide when a big spring tide occurs (full moon, dark moon). That this already happens is of great

concern as most of this road lies alongside the estuary. It has
implications for water quality as well as functional use for
residents.

Key issue - Revitalising our city centre (see page 32)	OPTION 1: Spend \$13m to make improvements to James and John St.
Why?	I think issues of climate change and potential flood management really need to be addressed with the central city, but fully support revitalising the central city and definitely increasing walkability to all the ridiculous outlying shopping centres. It is distressing seeing women struggling to get children in buggies off the road where there are no decent crossing places, and I know from having done this myself, standing in the road whilst you try and wrangle children and buggies over rough ground, and big curbs whilst trying to get out of the way of vehicles is awful. We need to have clear walking and cycling paths radiating from the town centre to places that people need to go to like Okara. I think this should be prioritised over nice seating. More trees in car parks are also essential to reduce the heat of these spaces.

Tell us what you think - any further comments on key issues or other points raised in the Consultation document:

The Council needs to have a tree policy to protect special trees in the district (both native and exotic), so that these are recognised before it is too late.

IMPLEMENT AN IMMEDIATE MORATORIUM ON ALL AERIAL AND ROADSIDE SPRAYING WITH TOXIC CHEMICAL PESTICIDES. Since the 1920s there has been strong scientific evidence about the adverse effects of toxic pesticides on the environment and all life forms, yet NZ is stillusing highly hazardous pesticides that have been banned in other countries. It is a well-documented fact that pesticides adversely affect the respiratory systems (among a long list of other symptoms) and that pesticides weaken the immune system of all life forms. Given that Japan has recently rejected NZ honey on the basis of containing only traces of glyphosate, I believe it is only reasonable to ask our government: " What do the Japanese know that we don't?" NZ is relying on 'safety data' provided by the very industry that is making billions of dollars on selling their products. If NZ doesn't have the funding for independent research, we should be looking for advice from better informed sources, like the United Nations. Blanket spraying of 'undesirable' plants, as in aerial and roadside spraying, is proven to be not only dangerous and unproductive, but also financially inefficient, wasting our tax dollar. Indiscriminate pesticide spraying is creating very costly problems, such as erosion, pollution of the waterways and serious health issues for humans, live-stock and wildlife. Pesticide poisoning is linked to cancer, endocrine disruption , reproductive effects, neurotoxicity, kidneyand liver damage, birth defects, and developmental changes in a wide range of species. The continued and indiscriminate use of toxic pesticides is a breach of one of our most basic human rights – the right to health! On this basis, I believe it is our right that we ask all our government agencies to exercise the precautionary principle and place an immediate moratorium on Glyphosate and all other HHPs

THERE ARE MANY NON-TOXIC METHODS FOR ROADSIDE MAINTENANCE, WHICH ARE REGENERATIVE, SUSTAINABLE AND COULD BRING MANY PERMANENT JOBS INTO OUR COMMUNITIES, IF THE ROADSIDE MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS WERE ASSIGNED TO EACH INDIVIDUAL COMMUNITY.

Objectives: Minimizing the pollution of our waterways and environment by highly hazardous pesticides/herbicides,by practicing integrated roadside maintenance. Replacing non-targeted herbicide sprays and destructive slashing with the MOLD method (method-of-least-disturbance).

Restoring our ecosystems by replacing weeds alongroadsides with native vegetation (or beneficial non-native plants), to stabilize the soil, prevent erosion, enhance and promote biodiversity and providehabitat/shelter/food for wildlife. Promoting the long-term financial benefit forratepayers by reducing the costs of roadsidemaintenance. Providing people in our community with a permanentincome, by gaining the contract for roadsidemaintenance as a

'community business'. Job offers include: landscapers, arborists, ecologists, equipment service team; botanists, nursery managers, administration staff and educational programmanagers (to share the learning process and knowledge with the next generation).

GE/GMOS ISSUE: support the councils precautionary and prohibitiveGE/GMO provisions/ policies/ rules in theoperative WDC District Plan and previous Long TermPlan, which addresses the risks of any outdoor GE/GMOs experiments/ field trials/ releases to our biosecurity, unique biodiversity, wider environment, existing GM/GMO free primary producers, food sovereignty, economy, Hua Parakore, cultural values, and the public health. Please retain and enhance the precautionary and prohibitive GE/GMO policy in the Long Term Plan. I urge you to specifically mention that GMOs (geneticallymodified organisms) now include risky gene edited organisms/ CRISPR). Gene edited organisms have been shown to have unexpected/unforseen off target adverse effects and should not be allowed in NZ. I also oppose any outdoor use of risky andcontroversial "gene drive"(a sterility technique that has grave risks).

Council should also be looking at community projects such as that carried out in the far north to help provide space for development of tiny house or other cost effective housing for the homeless. I realise this is not specifically Councils brief but they could also work with central government. It is so urgent that people have a place they can call their own. Tiny house or even portacoms such as recently done up north where people have a space to call their own that they rent to own as they can afford would help to immediately alleviate some of this problem.

Thank you for your excellent consultation...I feel the council has provided lots of opportunities to try and engage and receive feedback.